



NWEA Results

BOE

August 8, 2013


Student Growth Summary Chart Hampton Street

HAMPTON STREET SCHOOL

Mathematics

Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Fall 2012		Spring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth					
		Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Percent Meeting Projection
K	100	198.7	11.8	187.8	14.1	28.1	10.6	1.1	100	18.6	11.8	170.3	80	80.0
1	108	180.4	13.8	187.9	14.8	27.6	8.2	0.8	108	18.4	11.2	187.7	88	82.6
2	86	178.7	12.1	196.4	8.2	18.7	8.4	0.8	86	13.3	6.4	140.8	88	80.0

Mathematics



Student Growth Summary Chart Meadow Drive

MEADOW DRIVE

Mathematics

Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Fall 2012		Spring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth					
		Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Percent Meeting Projection
K	110	144.7	10.1	169.6	12.8	24.9	8.2	0.9	110	16.7	8.1	168.8	88	89.1
1	114	184.3	13.1	190.8	13.3	26.3	8.2	0.9	114	16.7	10.8	187.6	108	89.0
2	100	178.2	13.8	187.0	10.8	18.8	7.8	0.8	100	13.2	6.8	142.4	82	82.0

Mathematics




Student Growth Summary Chart Jackson Avenue

JACKSON AVENUE SCHOOL

Mathematics

Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Fall 2012		Spring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth					
		Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Percent Meeting Projection
3	211	191.9	10.5	210.2	11.8	18.3	7.1	0.5	211	11.0	7.3	166.4	183	86.7
4	200	205.2	11.4	221.3	12.5	16.0	7.2	0.5	200	8.7	7.3	183.9	176	88.0

Mathematics




Student Growth Summary Chart Middle School

Mineola Middle School

Mathematics

Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Fall 2012		Spring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth					
		Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Percent Meeting Projection
5	183	214.7	11.8	231.8	15.6	17.0	8.6	0.6	183	8.0	9.0	212.5	156	85.2
6	178	221.0	14.8	235.4	16.3	14.3	6.7	0.5	178	6.0	8.3	238.3	163	91.6
7	200	229.1	14.3	236.8	12.9	7.8	8.0	0.6	200	5.0	2.8	156.0	138	69.0

Mathematics



Comparison of percent of students that meet or exceed target growth- 2012-2013


Grade	Spring 2012		Spring 2013		Difference		State Results
	<i>Hampton</i>	<i>Meadow</i>	<i>Hampton</i>	<i>Meadow</i>	<i>Hampton</i>	<i>Meadow</i>	
K	90.5**		90	89.1	-1		
1	68.1	77.9	92.6	93	19.8		
2	68		80	82	13		
3	66.2		86.7		20.5		39.7
4	71.7		88		16.3		51.7
5	68		85.2		17.2		39.7
6	73.6		91.6		18		51.6
7	62.2		69		6.8		35.8

Hampton Street Math

Growth Index

Below


Above



Hampton Street Whole School Math

- 255/290 (87.9%) students met their target growth
- 200/290 (69%) were at or above grade level mean RIT

Meadow Drive Math



Whole School Math


- 289/325 (88.9%) students met their target growth
- 82.7% were at or above grade level mean RIT

Jackson Avenue Math

Growth Index

Below

Above



Jackson Ave.

School-Wide Math

- 359/411 (87.5%) students met their target growth
- 312/411 (76%) were at or above grade level mean RIT

Middle School Math

Growth Index

		Below	Above
Projected Proficiency	Above	7.8% (44)	66.4% (374)
	Below	8.7% (49)	17.0% (96)

- The percent of students that have demonstrated proficiency is **74.2%**. * **Adjusted to national norms**

- The percent of students that were identified **below proficiency and not meeting growth projections** in spring of 2012 was **9%**.

- The percent of students in the **red** has decreased to **8.7%**

- The percent of students that were identified **above proficiency and had met growth targets** in spring 2012 was **59.1%**.

- The percent of students that were in the **green** in spring 2013 has increased to **66.4%**