

## **NWEA** Results


BOE August 8, 2013



# Student Growth Summary Chart Hampton Street

#### HAMPTON STREET SCHOOL

athematics	1			_											
		Fall 2012		8pring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth						
Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Princent Meeting Projection	
K	100	139.7	11.8	187.8	14.1	28.1	10.6	1.1	100	18.6	11.8	170.3	80	80.0	
1	108	160.4	13.8	187.9	14.8	27.6	8.2	0.8	108	18.4	11.2	187.7	98	82.6	
2	85	178.7	12.1	185.4	8.2	18.7	8.4	0.8	86	18.8	6.4	140.8	68	80.0	
				Til.		<b>THE STREET, S</b>				THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T		447,45 (1.1)		VIII DE COMPTION DE LA COMPTION DE L	






## Student Growth Summary Chart Meadow Drive

#### MEADOW DRIVE

		Fall:	2012	Spring 2013		Aptual Growth			Projected Growth					
Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Percent Meeting Projection
K	110	144.7	10.1	189,6	12.8	24.8	9.2	0.9	110	187	9.1	168.8	88	89.1
1	114	184.3	13.1	190,8	13.3	28.3	9.2	0.9	114	16.7	10.8	187.6	108	93.0
2	100	178.2	13.8	197.0	10.8	18.8	7.8	0.8	100	18.2	6.8	142.4	82	82.0






# Student Growth Summary Chart Jackson Avenue

#### JACKSON AVENUE SCHOOL

Fall 2012		Spring 2013		Actual Growth			Projected Growth					
Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Sampling	Count with	Mean	Growth	Percent of	Count Meeting	Percent Meeting
RIT	Dev	RIT	Dev	Growth	Dev	Error	Projection	Projection	Index	Projection	Projection	Projection
191.9	10.5	210.2	11.8	18.3	7.1	0.5	211	11.0	7.3	166.4	183	86.7
205.2	11.4	221.3	12.5	16.0	7.2	0.5	200	8.7	7.3	183.9	176	88.0
	Mean RIT 191.9	Mean Std RIT Dev 191.9 10.5	Mean Std Mean   RIT Dev RIT   191.9   10.5   210.2	Mean         Std         Mean         Std           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Mean           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev         Growth           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Mean         Std           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev         Growth         Dev           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3         7.1	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Sampling           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev         Growth         Dev         Error           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3         7.1         0.5	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Sampling         Count with           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev         Growth         Dev         Error         Projection           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3         7.1         0.5         211	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Sampling         Count with         Mean           RIT         Dev         RIT         Dev         Growth         Dev         Error         Projection         Projection           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3         7.1         0.5         211         11.0	Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Mean         Std         Sampling Count with         Mean         Growth           191.9         10.5         210.2         11.8         18.3         7.1         0.5         211         11.0         7.3	Mean     Std     Mean     Std     Mean     Std     Sampling Count with Percent of Projection     Mean Growth Percent of Projection       191.9     10.5     210.2     11.8     18.3     7.1     0.5     211     11.0     7.3     166.4	Mean     Std     Mean     Std     Std     Std     Sampling     Count with     Mean     Growth     Percent of     Count Meeting       RIT     Dev     RIT     Dev     Growth     Dev     Error     Projection     Projection     Index     Projection     Projection       191.9     10.5     210.2     11.8     18.3     7.1     0.5     211     11.0     7.3     166.4     183






# Student Growth Summary Chart Middle School

#### Mineola Middle School

Mathematics															
Fall 2012 Spring 2013						Actual Growth			Projected Growth						
Grade (Spring 2013)	Count	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean RIT	Std Dev	Mean Growth	Std Dev	Sampling Error	Count with Projection	Mean Projection	Growth Index	Percent of Projection	Count Meeting Projection	Parcent Meeing	
5	183	214.7	11.8	231.8	15.6	17.0	8.6	0.6	183	8.0	9.0	212.5	156	85.2	
6	178	221.0	14.8	235.4	16.3	14.3	6.7	0.5	178	6.0	8.3	238.3	163	9 6	
7	200	229.1	14.3	236.8	12.9	7.8	8.0	0.6	200	5.0	2.8	156.0	138	69.0	






# Comparison of percent of students that meet or exceed target growth-2012-2013

Grade	Spring	2012	Spring	<b>2013</b>	Differ	ence	State Results		
	Hampton	Meadow	Hampton	Meadow	Hampton	Meadow			
K	90.	5**	90	89.1					
1	68.1 77.9		92.6	93	19.8				
2	6	8	80	82	1:	3			
3	66	5.2	86	5.7	20	.5	39.7		
4	71	.7	8	8	16	.3	51.7		
5	6	8	85	5.2	17.2		39.7		
6	73	3.6	91	.6	18	8	51.6		
7	62	2.2	6	9	6.	8	35.8		

## Hampton Street Math

**Growth Index** 




# Hampton Street Whole School Math

- 255/290 (87.9%) students met their target growth
- 200/290 (69%) were at or above grade level mean RIT

## Meadow Drive Math






#### **Whole School Math**

- 289/325 (88.9%) students met their target growth
- 82.7% were at or above grade level mean RIT

## Jackson Avenue Math






# Jackson Ave. School-Wide Math

- 359/411 (87.5%) students met their target growth
- 312/411 (76%) were at or above grade level mean RIT

## Middle School Math

#### **Growth Index**



- •The percent of students that have demonstrated proficiency is **74.2%.** \* **Adjusted to national norms**
- •The percent of students that were identified below proficiency and not meeting growth projections in spring of 2012 was 9%.
- •The percent of students in the **red** has decreased to **8.7**%
- •The percent of students that were identified above proficiency and had met growth targets in spring 2012 was 59.1%.
- The percent of students that were in the green in spring 2013 has increased to 66.4%