

Annotated Works Cited

"America's New Air Force." *CBSNews*. CBS Interactive, 16 Apr. 2009. Web. 19 Dec. 2012.

This 60 Minutes clip focuses on the military's increased use of drones in the War on Terror. These unmanned aerial vehicles are being used to spy on the enemy and protect American soldiers on the ground.

This video clip is from a reputable news program but it is still biased. It promotes the benefits of using drones in the military and barely touches on the arguments against the use of drones by the CIA in places like Pakistan.

I will use the information from this 60 Minutes clip in the section of my paper on the benefits of drone warfare.

Billitteri, Thomas J. "Drone Warfare." *CQ Researcher* 6 Aug. 2010: 653-76. Web. 19 Dec. 2012.

In the CQR pro/con essays the legality of drone strikes is examined. Anderson argues they are effective, humane and lawful. He believes they are humanitarian since drones kill fewer civilians and Americans. He argues they are lawful because the military has the power to use drones as a weapon in war. Furthermore, the CIA may use drones as self-defense. The argument

that the United States cannot launch drone strikes in nations like Pakistan, countries we are not at war with, is flawed. We have the right to attack lawless regions which harbor terrorists. On the other hand, O'Connell argues that lethal force can only be used in an armed conflict. Therefore the use of drone strikes in any country other than Afghanistan is unlawful because it is not an area of hostility. Also the fact that the CIA is conducting the drone strikes, and not the military, is unlawful because the CIA technically has no right to kill in combat.

CQR includes two contrasting and biased essays to inform the reader on both sides of the controversy. CQR is known to be a credible database. Both essays are written by law professors and each includes supporting evidence.

I will use the information presented in these essays in the drone section of my paper.