



Meadow Green Elementary

12025 Grovedale Drive • Whittier, CA 90604 • 562.902.4241 • Grades P-6

Matt Cukro, Principal

mcukro@ljsd.org

<https://meadowgreen.ljsd.org/>

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



Lowell Joint School District

11019 Valley Home Ave.
Whittier, CA 90603
562.943.0211
www.ljsd.org

District Governing Board

Fred W. Schambeck
Board President

William A. Hinz
Board Vice President

Melissa A. Salinas
Board Clerk

Anastasia M. Shackelford
Board Member

Karen L. Shaw
Board Member

District Administration

Mr. Jim Coombs
Superintendent

Dr. Sheri McDonald
**Assistant Superintendent of
Educational Services**

Andrea Reynolds
**Assistant Superintendent of
Administrative Services**

David Bennett
**Assistant Superintendent of
Facilities and Operations**

Kaleo Igarta
Director of Special Education

Rhonda Esparza
Director of Educational Services

Alan Mao
Director of Technology

Chelle Price
Director of Fiscal Services

School Description

Our goal in 2019/20 will be to continue the tradition of academic excellence at Meadow Green. Our students continue to rank among the highest performing elementary schools not only in the Whittier / La Habra area, but across the state of California on yearly California Smarter Balanced summative assessments in both English Language Arts and Math. Strong results on these rigorous assessments indicate that Meadow Green produces students who are college and career ready!

Citizenship, character education, and safety are also of the utmost importance at Meadow Green. We will also continue to develop students who exhibit traditional qualities and values, such as leadership, respect, responsibility, honesty, and integrity through our monthly character education themes and powerful PBIS program. Our staff at Meadow Green will teach, set, model, and reinforce expectations for our students. Students will receive positive recognition through monthly character assemblies and our PBIS systems. In conjunction with these powerful systems, Meadow Green staff utilize social emotional learning, restorative practices, and affective statements to support a positive climate. Our students leaders support our students and campus climate through the research-based Orange County Department of Education Peer Assistance Leader (PAL) leadership development program.

Meadow Green students will continue to learn and master skills in technology that will prepare them for 21st century college and careers. Throughout the year students will utilize technology such as, 1:1 Chromebooks in grades 1-6, iPads in grades TK & K and a Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, and Math (STEAM) Lab for hands-on learning. Using technology regularly allows Meadow Green students to develop 21st century skills in the 4 Cs (communication, collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking). Students in grades 5 and 6 learn and practice computer science and coding skills to prepare them for advanced classes at Rancho Starbuck and at the high school level. Students also receive weekly instruction in music thanks to the generous support of the Lowell Joint Education Foundation. Instruction in Art is also provided throughout the year.

Meadow Green Mission Statement:

The mission of Meadow Green Elementary School is to encourage students and staff to seek excellence in academic achievement and personal conduct. We are committed to fostering student self-direction, self-motivation, self-discipline, and the ability to recognize the importance of learning. We believe that a close partnership between home, school, and community is essential to our students' success in becoming productive citizens and life-long learners.

At Meadow Green Elementary School, we believe:

- that all students can learn, deserve access to a rich instructional program, and should have an equal opportunity to learn in a safe and nurturing environment.
- in teaching good citizenship skills and developing in students an appreciation of country.
- that all students are valuable and gain ability, self-esteem, and pride through their diligence, achievements and accomplishments.
- that the choices made today shape the world tomorrow.
- that kindness makes our school a better place.
- that hard work helps us reach our potential.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	77
Grade 1	65
Grade 2	79
Grade 3	79
Grade 4	74
Grade 5	77
Grade 6	70
Total Enrollment	521

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.7
Asian	6.5
Filipino	1.9
Hispanic or Latino	70.6
White	16.3
Two or More Races	1.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.8
English Learners	7.7
Students with Disabilities	7.7
Foster Youth	1.2
Homeless	2.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Meadow Green	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	21	21	21
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

Teacher Credentials for Lowell Joint School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	♦	♦	131.6
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	5
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Meadow Green Elementary

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Core textbook adoptions are listed below. In ELA and Math the core program adoptions are all within the past 5 years and are aligned to California Common Core State Standards. After an extensive pilot in 2018/19, LUSD adopted Studies Weekly (K-5) and Discovery (grade 6) and are implementing that program starting in 2019/20. A Science pilot will take place as materials are developed that are fully aligned with the NGSS standards. All adopted and piloted programs are approved by the California Department of Education. The year that programs were adopted are listed below. All materials and textbooks are from the most recent adoption of that subject. All students have their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials. Please see below to supplemental programs that are utilized to support achievement in ELA and Math.

Curricular Area Supplemental Materials Grade

All Thinking Maps TK-6
MobyMax K-6

Reading/Language Arts

Accelerated Reader TK-2
Lexia Core 5 K-2
Scholastic News TK-6
Reading Plus 3-6
Step-Up-to-Writing K-6
Flocabulary TK-6

Math

MIND Institutes ST Math K-6
ST Math Fluency- K-6

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: October, 2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	2016-17 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Journeys K-5; Big Day TK 2016-17 McGraw-Hill, Study Sync 6-8 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	2014-15 Houghton Mifflin K-5, Go Math! 2014-15 Houghton Mifflin, Big Ideas 6-8 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	2008-09 Macmillan/McGraw-Hill K-3 2008-09 Pearson Scott Forsman 4-6 2008-09 Pearson Prentice Hall 7-8 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	2019-20 Studies Weekly K-5 2019-20 Discovery Education, Gr. 6: Ancient World History, Gr. 7: Modern History, Gr. 8: World History The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Foreign Language	1999-00 ¡En Español! 7-8 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Health	2008-09 Princeton Health Press- LifeSkills 3-8 2007-08 Human Kinetics- Fitness For Life The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Visual and Performing Arts	Theatre- scripts, costumes, props Instrumental Music- band, string, and percussion instruments, musical scores Choral Music- musical scores Visual Arts- various art materials covering a variety of media, art history prints The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	Grades 9-12 only

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Meadow Green school was built in 1962. There are 28 classrooms on campus. Safe and clean schools are fundamental necessities of a successful academic environment. Our Maintenance Department is proud to impart solutions and services integral to providing a safe environment conducive to learning. Safety inspections are held annually to ensure the maintenance of an optimal learning environment.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: December 2019

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Poor	The majority of issues are connected to roof leaks that will be addressed as part of the upcoming re-roofing project under Measure LL.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Good	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	72	73	62	62	50	50
Math	61	62	53	54	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

2018-19 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

Grade Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	24.0	26.7	25.3

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	302	299	99.01	73.24
Male	156	155	99.36	72.90
Female	146	144	98.63	73.61
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	16	16	100.00	81.25
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	222	219	98.65	71.69
White	46	46	100.00	76.09
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	132	132	100.00	67.42
English Learners	36	36	100.00	61.11
Students with Disabilities	29	27	93.10	44.44
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	302	299	99.01	61.87
Male	156	155	99.36	67.74
Female	146	144	98.63	55.56
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	16	16	100.00	75.00
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	222	219	98.65	57.99
White	46	46	100.00	71.74
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	132	132	100.00	53.03
English Learners	36	36	100.00	50.00
Students with Disabilities	29	27	93.10	33.33
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Meadow Green Elementary School parents are involved on many different levels. They are partners with the school as they work to get their children to school on time each day and as they assist in the completion of homework in a timely manner. Parents are encouraged to sign a learning compact at the beginning of the school year that outlines the responsibilities of teachers, students, and parents in the support of the educational program of our school. Parents are also active participants in raising funds that provide for school field trips and assemblies.

In addition, Parent Teacher Association (PTA) funds support the school-wide PRIDE Program that enhances student's Personal Responsibility In Daily Effort. All parents are invited to join the PTA. Parents also serve on the School Site Council, which is the primary site-based decision making body on campus. This council consists of school staff, teachers, and parents and makes decisions on major budget expenditures of Title I and School Improvement Programs and includes an English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) that is in place and a parent of an English Language Learner serves on the School Site Council (SSC). Potential parent members for the SSC are nominated by and voted in by their peers. Flyers for this process are sent home to all parents. SSC member parents play an active role in advising the school about priorities and concerns. Meadow Green also offers reading and literacy parent education support in the evenings to support parent engagement and education of students.

As volunteers, parents regularly assist in supporting classroom teachers and the educational program by assisting in the classroom. Those wishing to volunteer in the classroom directly contact the teacher. The PTA provides a forum for parents to participate regularly in school activities and functions such as student-centered activities and fund raisers. Parents are invited to attend monthly awards assemblies that honor students for citizenship and achievement. They accompany classes on field trips and assist with special projects and presentations at school. Meadow Green holds an annual Holiday Program, Grandparent's Day, and a Volunteer Tea, all aimed at inviting and encouraging parental involvement. Both Back-to-School Night and Open House are excellent opportunities for parents to participate in school functions and become an active part in the school and their child's education. There are also family engagement opportunities during Red Ribbon Week and Read Across America Week.

A number of software programs are also available online so that parents can actively encourage and engage their children in learning at home. These include the MIND Institutes ST Math, Lexia Core 5, MobyMax, and Reading Plus.

For additional information, contact the school principal at 562-902-4241.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Meadow Green Elementary School is committed to the safety and security of each student.

To maintain these conditions which contribute to the best possible learning environment, the School Safety Plan includes the following elements:

1. **School Crime Assessment:** Meadow Green Elementary School provides compiled school crime information each month for the California Safe School Assessment as mandated by the State of California. This information is analyzed at the school site to determine what steps may be taken to reduce incidents of crime.
2. **Child Abuse Reporting:** Teachers and other school employees are required by law to report any cases of suspected child abuse or child neglect. Reports are investigated by the Department of Social Services to determine if any follow-up on the report is necessary.
3. **Disaster Procedures and NIMS Report:** In order to ensure the safety of students and school personnel, the School Safety committee has created a comprehensive Disaster Preparedness Plan and specific emergency job duties for all staff are clearly defined in the NIMS Report. This Disaster Plan is revised and reviewed annually. Emergency fire drills are held once a month and evaluated for effectiveness. Lockdown and Earthquake drills are routinely practiced throughout the year.
4. **School Discipline:** Meadow Green Elementary School has created a school-wide discipline plan in order to communicate high standards and expectations and to hold students accountable for their behavior. The discipline plan is given to every student and reviewed in student assemblies or classroom presentations. Staff members consistently enforce the school-wide standards. Meadow Green also has a strong positive component to its discipline policy. This includes a PRIDE program of recognition for citizenship, good behavior, and responsibility. An awards program recognizes students for their positive choices. Upper grade students are invited to join the Bully Busters and their focus is to encourage and foster positive interaction between students at school. Meadow Green is in the year two of developing our Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies Plan (PBIS). Tier two defines behavior expectations for all students, in all areas on campus as well as continues with our successful recognition program now in place. Tier two also details interventions and student success programs which are in place to assist a smaller population of children whom need more assistance, guidance and frequent monitoring for improved behavior.
5. **Sexual Harassment Policy:** Meadow Green Elementary School strictly adheres to LUSD policies that prohibit sexual harassment or discrimination of any kind.
6. **School-wide Dress Code:** Meadow Green Elementary School believes that a clearly defined dress code contributes to a positive school environment. The primary standards for student dress and grooming include: the student should be neat and clean at school, and the student should not wear clothing that compromises safety or modesty or that is disruptive to the educational process. Each student receives annually a written copy of the school dress code.
7. **Safe and Orderly Environment:** Meadow Green Elementary School believes a safe and orderly environment is necessary to ensure a positive learning experience for all students. Regular supervision of students is the core of creating and maintaining a safe and orderly environment. Teachers, administrators, campus supervisors and parent volunteers consistently supervise and interact with students to reinforce behavioral expectations and safety standards. Staff, students, and parents are all encouraged to support a bully-free campus. Assemblies are held focusing on appropriate behavior with others, and all staff, parents, and students are encouraged to sign a kindness pledge. PBIS - Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies is in place.

The School Safety Plan is reviewed annually with staff. It was last reviewed, updated annually with SSC. It was reviewed and updated and approved by SSC on September 12, 2019.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	1.3	0.8	0.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	1.8	2.5	2.5
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	.0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19
	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+
K	33		1	1	23	1	2		31		2	
1	24		3		21	1	2		22		3	
2	21	1	2		24		3		26		3	
3	25		3		22		3		26		3	
4	30		2		38			2	29		3	
5	36			2	33		1	1	30		3	
6	36			2	36			2	35			2
Other**												

*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	3

Professional development is an on-going process throughout the year. District-wide, there are full non-student days throughout the year where teachers receive professional development in areas such as instructional technology, student engagement, data analysis, implementation of core and supplemental instructional programs. The specific focus for the PD days are as follows:

- August 12, 2019- Part 2, LJSJ Thinking Maps
- October 14, 2019- Thinking Maps- "Setting the Stage."
- April 10, 2020- Thinking Maps- "Response to Text."

There are several early release Mondays that are also utilized for Site Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings. At PLC meetings, staff focus on one or more of the four essential PLC areas (What do we want students to learn? How will we know if students have learned? What will we do for students who have not learned? What will we do for students who have learned?). Site PLC focus areas are aligned with site goals and programs as developed by the School Site Council based on student achievement measures and are developed by the Principal in collaboration with staff. Topics for 2019/20 include:

- September 16, 2019- ST Math Number Talks
- October 7, 2019- Reading Plus/Lexia & Supporting Special Needs students w/ reinforcements
- December 9, 2019- Targeting Student Needs w/ MobyMax Modules & A2I
- January 13, 2020- Thinking Maps
- March 2, 2020- Analysis of assessment data and development of "finish strong" classroom and grade level plans to maximize student performances on CAASPP and other end of year assessments & A2I
- April 6, 2020- Implementation of 2019 CAASPP assessments in ELA, Math, and Science & A2I
- May 13, 2020- End of year analysis

In addition to PLC meetings, teachers also utilize early release Mondays for collaboration during instructional team planning with grade level and matriculation colleagues at both the site and district level.

Staff also receive other PD opportunities throughout the year to attend conferences and receive other training. Areas for 2018/19 include, data conferences with administration, STEAM conference, CUE conference, PALs, Restorative Practices, EDI, GLAD, Thinking Maps, A2i, and collaboration for data analysis and curriculum development.

Support for implementing programs and practices is on-going throughout the year. The Principal conducts classroom visits daily and provides on-going feedback and support. In addition, teachers are able to support one another through ITP time on Mondays. An instructional technology coach is also available to support development and implementation in that area. Finally, all grade levels receive several full days of sub release throughout the year to receive support from district Ed Services personnel.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,074	\$49,378
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$85,000	\$77,190
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,384	\$96,607
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$110,612	\$122,074
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$122,930	\$126,560
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$126,920
Superintendent Salary	\$199,500	\$189,346

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	41%	36%
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

Meadow Green is not currently in program improvement.

Title I funds provide economic assistance to supplement the regular instructional program for educationally disadvantaged students. Expenditures include: Salaries and benefits for small group intervention during and outside of the school day, supplemental materials, supplemental supplies, technology, professional development/conferences, consultants, professional development for data analysis, curriculum development, and professional development, postage, non-capital equipment, and student field trips.

Title I is utilized to support students in need of interventions at Meadow Green as a means to supplement and not supplant our CORE program.

Meadow Green operates the Title I program as a school-wide Title I program, targeting achievement school-wide. Meadow Green transitioned from a targeted assistance to a school-wide program during the 2018/19 school year, as the number of students from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds increased above 40% of the total school population.

A school may operate a SWP if:

The school's LEA determines that the school serves an eligible attendance area or is a participating school under Section 1113 of the ESEA; and For the initial year of the schoolwide program:

The school serves a school attendance area in which not less than 40 percent of the children are from low-income families; or Not less than 40 percent of the children enrolled in the school are from low-income families (34 CFR 200.25[b][1]; ESSA Section 1114[a][1][A]).

A school that serves an eligible school attendance area in which less than 40 percent of the children are from low-income families, or a school for which less than 40 percent of the children enrolled in the school are from such families, may operate a schoolwide program under this section if the school receives a waiver from the State educational agency to do so, after taking into account how a schoolwide program will best serve the needs of the students in the school served in improving academic achievement and other factors (ESSA Section 1114[a][1][B]). Eligible schools are not required to operate the SWP and may choose to remain as a TAS. Additional information about Title I, Part A TAS may be located on the Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Schools web page.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,193	\$596	\$6,597	\$86,583
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,034	\$85,119.00
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$77,619.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	-19.6	1.7
School Site/ State	-12.9	10.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

A school operating a SWP must conduct a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school (ESSA Section 1114[b][6]; 34 CFR 200.26[a][1]). The comprehensive needs assessment is based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups and migratory children, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at-risk of failing, to meet the challenging State academic standards and any other factors as determined by the LEA, and particularly for those students furthest away from demonstrating proficiency, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards (ESSA Section 1114[b][6]; 34 CFR 200.26[a][1] and [b]).

A school operating a SWP must also conduct a comprehensive needs assessment to:

Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved (34 CFR 200.26[a][1][i][A]); and
Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards (34 CFR 200.26[a][1][i][B]).

SWP Plan Development

An eligible school operating a SWP shall develop a comprehensive plan, that is:

Developed during a 1-year period, unless the LEA determines, in consultation with the school, that less time is needed to develop and implement the SWP (ESSA Section 1114[b][1]);

Developed with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served and individuals who will carry out such plan (ESSA Section 1114[b][2]; 34 CFR 200.26[a][2]);

To remain in effect for the duration of the school's participation in a SWP and will be monitored and revised as necessary (ESSA Section 1114[b][3]); and
Regularly monitored and revised as necessary based on student needs and all students have been provided with opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards (ESSA Section 1114[b][3]);

Available to the LEA, parents, and the public, and the information contained in such plan shall be in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language that the parents can understand (ESSA Section 1114[b][4]); and

If appropriate and applicable, developed and coordinated and integration with other Federal, State, and local services, resources, and programs (ESSA Section 1114[b][5]);

The SWP plan shall include descriptions of strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs (ESSA Section 1114[b][7][A]) including a description of how such strategies will:

Provide opportunities for all children, including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging State academic standards (ESSA Section 1114[b][7][A][i]);

Strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education (ESSA Section 1114[b][7][A][ii]); and

Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards (ESSA Section 1114[b][7][A][iii]).

All activities, strategies, and interventions included in the SWP shall be evidence-based. Evidence-based means the proposed project component is supported by one or more of strong evidence, moderate evidence, promising evidence, or evidence that demonstrates a rationale (34 CFR 77.1[c]).

If programs are consolidated, the SWP plan shall include descriptions of the specific LEA programs and other Federal programs that will be consolidated in the SWP (ESSA Section 1114[b][7][B]).

The SWP school must document how it conducted the comprehensive needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results (34 CFR Section 200.26[a][3]).

Further, an LEA shall ensure that each SWP plan shall be consolidated into a School Plan (EC Section 64001[a]), known as the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). The School Plan shall be developed and approved by the schoolsite council (EC sections 64001[c] and 65000[b]). Information regarding the SPSA is located on the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) web page.

SWP Plan Evaluation

In addition, a school operating a SWP must:

Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the SWP, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement (34 CFR 200.26[c][1]);

Determine whether the SWP has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards (34 CFR 200.26[c][2]; and

Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the SWP (34 CFR 200.26[c][3]).

SWP Consolidation of Funds

The SWP may consolidate funds from Federal, State, and local sources to implement the school's comprehensive plan to upgrade its entire educational program (ESSA Section 1114[a][1]; ESSA Section 1114[a][3][A]-[B]; 34 CFR 200.25[e]; 34 CFR Section 200.29[a]).

A SWP school that consolidates and uses funds from any other Federal program:

is not required to meet the statutory or regulatory requirements of that program applicable at the school level; but must meet the intent and purposes of that program to ensure that the needs of the intended beneficiaries (e.g., students, teachers, and parents) of any other federal programs (34 CFR 200.29[b][1]).

must meet the requirements of those programs relating to health; safety; civil rights; student and parental participation and involvement; services to private school children; maintenance of effort; comparability of services; use of Federal funds to supplement, not supplant non-Federal funds; and distribution of funds to SEAs or LEAs (34 CFR 200.29[b][2]).

Is not required to maintain separate fiscal accounting records, by program, that identify the specific activities supported by those particular funds; but must maintain records that demonstrate that the SWP, as a whole, addresses the intent and purposes of each of the Federal programs whose funds were consolidated to support the SWP (ESSA Section 1114[a][3][C] and 34 CFR 200.29[d]).

If a school consolidates and uses funds from migrant education, Indian education, and special education in its SWP, the school must meet specific requirements of such programs (34 CFR 200.29[c]).

Use of Funds in SWP

A school operating a SWP:

is not required to identify particular children as eligible to participate (34 CFR 200.25[c][1]) or provide services that supplement, and do not supplant, the services participating children would otherwise receive if they were not participating in a Title I, Part A program (ESSA Section 1118[b][1]; 34 CFR 200.25[c][2]).

must use funds available under Title I, Part A only to supplement the total amount of funds that would, in the absence of the Federal funds, be made available from non-Federal sources for that school, including funds needed to provide services that are required by law for children with disabilities and children with limited English proficiency (34 CFR 200.25[d]).

may use Title I, Part A funds to establish or enhance prekindergarten programs for children below the age of 6, such as Even Start programs or Early Reading First programs (ESSA Section 1114[c]; 34 CFR 200.25[f]).

A secondary school operating a SWP may use Title I, Part A funds to operate dual or concurrent enrollment programs that address the needs of low-achieving secondary school students and those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards (ESSA Section 1114[e]).

The SWP shall use funds available to supplement the amount of funds that would, in the absence of Title I, Part A funds, be made available from non-Federal sources for the school (ESSA Section 1114[a][2][B]). Additional information on authorized use of Title I, Part A funds may be located on the Title I, Part A Authorized Use of Funds web page.

SWP Waiver Criteria and Process

SWP waivers may be approved by the CDE if the local governing board approves such a request and if the school meets one or more of the following criteria:

=25 percent student low income;

Graduation rate is below state average;

Local governing board recommends that the SWP is the best way to serve the student population;

=30 percent English Learner student population;

School has been identified for comprehensive or targeted support; or

School has been identified as the lowest 5 percent of low performing schools.

Once the local governing board approves the waiver request, the school must then complete the following:

Conduct a Needs Assessment

Develop a SWP Plan

Obtain the local governing board approval of the SWP Plan

The LEA will indicate dates of the local governing board approval of the SWP status including the waiver in the Notification of Authorization of SWP report in the Consolidated Application Reporting System.

A school may begin to operate the SWP on the day the local governing board approved the SWP plan (ESSA Section 1114[b][1][B]).

Utilizing Title I and LCAP funds, Meadow Green provides Tier III support during and outside of the school day for students performing below grade level standards. Numerous software programs, which are utilized at school and can be accessed at home are also provided to all students.

Closing the achievement gap between high and low performing children (students meeting the Targeted Assistance Criteria) and especially the achievement gap between minority and non-minority students, and between disadvantaged children and their more advantaged peers is a focus of Meadow Green School.

Title II funds are designated to improve teacher quality and increase the number of highly qualified teachers. The program focuses on research based strategies to prepare and train highly qualified teachers.

Title III funds are designated to ensure that students attain English proficiency and meet the same challenging academic achievement standards required of all students. Funds are used for translation, support services, instructional aides, supplemental books, and conferences.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.