

Meadow Green Elementary

2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Meadow Green Elementary
Street	12025 Grovedale Drive
City, State, Zip	Whittier, CA 90604
Phone Number	562.902.4241
Principal	Matt Cukro
Email Address	mcukro@ljsd.org
School Website	https://meadowgreen.ljsd.org/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	30647666020192

2022-23 District Contact Information

District Name	Lowell Joint School District
Phone Number	562.943.0211
Email Address	webadmin@ljsd.org
District Website Address	www.ljsd.org

District Governing Board

President	Karen L. Shaw
Vice President	Anastasia M. Shackelford
Clerk	Anthony A. Zegarra
Member	Christine J. Berg
Member	Melissa A. Salinas

District Administration

Superintendent	Mr. Jim Coombs
Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services	Dr. Sheri McDonald
Assistant Superintendent of Administrative Services	Carl Erickson
Assistant Superintendent of Business Services	David Bennett
Director of Educational Services	Rhonda Overby
Director of Technology	Alan Mao
Director of Special Education	Kaleo Igarta
Director of Fiscal Services	Chelle Price

2022-23 School Overview

School Description:

Our goal in 2022/23 will be to continue the tradition of academic excellence at Meadow Green. Our students continue to rank among the highest performing elementary schools not only in the Whittier / La Habra area, but across the state of California on yearly California Smarter Balanced summative assessments in both English Language Arts and Math. Strong results on these rigorous assessments indicate that Meadow Green produces students who are college and career ready! In addition to a robust TK-6 general education program, we are also the proud home of Lowell Joint's preschool special education program, which provides early intervention for students 3-5 years of age who are eligible based on special needs assessments.

Citizenship, character education, and safety are also of the utmost importance at Meadow Green. We will also continue to develop students who exhibit traditional qualities and values, such as leadership, respect, responsibility, honesty, and integrity through our monthly character education themes and powerful PBIS program. Our staff at Meadow Green will teach, set, model, and reinforce expectations for our students. Students will receive positive recognition through monthly character assemblies and our PBIS systems. In conjunction with these powerful systems, Meadow Green staff utilize responsive classroom systems, social emotional learning, restorative practices, and affective statements to support a positive climate. Our student leaders support our students and campus climate through the research-based Orange County Department of Education Peer Assistance Leader (PAL) leadership development program.

Meadow Green students will continue to learn and master skills in technology that will prepare them for 21st century college and careers. Throughout the year students will utilize technology such as, 1:1 Chromebooks in grades TK-6, iPads in our special education preschool program, and a Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, and Math (STEAM) Lab for hands-on learning. Several classroom also utilize Interactive ViewSonic boards to add interactive elements to daily lessons. Using technology regularly allows Meadow Green students to develop 21st century skills in the 4 Cs (communication, collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking). Students in all grades utilize computers and technology daily to prepare them for advanced classes at Rancho Starbuck and at the high school level. Students also receive weekly instruction in music thanks to the generous support of the Lowell Joint Education Foundation. Instruction in Art is also provided throughout the year.

Meadow Green Mission Statement:

The mission of Meadow Green Elementary School is to encourage students and staff to seek excellence in academic achievement and personal conduct. We are committed to fostering student self-direction, self-motivation, self-discipline, and the ability to recognize the importance of learning. We believe that a close partnership between home, school, and community is essential to our students' success in becoming productive citizens and life-long learners.

At Meadow Green Elementary School, we believe:

- that all students can learn, deserve access to a rich instructional program, and should have an equal opportunity to learn in a safe and nurturing environment.
- in teaching good citizenship skills and developing in students an appreciation of country.
- that all students are valuable and gain ability, self-esteem, and pride through their diligence, achievements and accomplishments.
- that the choices made today shape the world tomorrow.
- that kindness makes our school a better place.
- that hard work helps us reach our potential.

About this School

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	86
Grade 1	58
Grade 2	66
Grade 3	54
Grade 4	65
Grade 5	72
Grade 6	96
Total Enrollment	497

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	48.5
Male	51.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	8.2
Black or African American	2.4
Filipino	1.6
Hispanic or Latino	70.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Two or More Races	1.2
White	15.5
English Learners	4.6
Foster Youth	0.0
Homeless	1.0
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54.3
Students with Disabilities	7.0



A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	22.60	100.00	123.10	92.85	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	3.80	2.89	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	4.60	3.49	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	22.60	100.00	132.60	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	23.70	100.00	128.40	94.06	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.73	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	3.00	2.20	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	0.00	0.00	4.10	3.01	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	23.70	100.00	136.60	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0.00
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0.00

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Meadow Green school was built in 1962. There are 28 classrooms on campus. Safe and clean schools are fundamental necessities of a successful academic environment. Our Maintenance Department is proud to impart solutions and services integral to providing a safe environment conducive to learning. Safety inspections are held annually to ensure the maintenance of an optimal learning environment.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

November 2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			20: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project/Pooling water outside classroom
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness:	X			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation				
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs			X	<p>10: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>11: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>12: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>13: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>14: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>15: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>16: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>17: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>18: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>19: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>20: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project/Pooling water outside classroom</p> <p>21: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>4: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>5: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>6: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>7: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>8: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>Administration: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p> <p>K1: Roof Leak - To be addressed during upcoming Measure LL re-roofing project</p>
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate			
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	71	N/A	56	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	50	N/A	40	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	282	280	99.29	0.71	70.71
Female	133	132	99.25	0.75	78.79
Male	149	148	99.33	0.67	63.51
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	19	19	100.00	0.00	94.74
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	200	199	99.50	0.50	69.85
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	44	43	97.73	2.27	65.12
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	12	12	100.00	0.00	83.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	156	155	99.36	0.64	59.35
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	25	24	96.00	4.00	45.83

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	282	279	98.94	1.06	50.18
Female	133	132	99.25	0.75	48.48
Male	149	147	98.66	1.34	51.70
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	19	19	100.00	0.00	78.95
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	200	198	99.00	1.00	44.95
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	44	43	97.73	2.27	58.14
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	12	12	100.00	0.00	66.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	156	154	98.72	1.28	39.61
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	25	24	96.00	4.00	37.50

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	34.83	53.52	30.1	36.63	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	71	71	100	0	53.52
Female	38	38	100	0	44.74
Male	33	33	100	0	63.64
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	49	49	100	0	44.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	11	11	100	0	54.55
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42	42	100	0	47.62
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	94	97	97	96	99

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Meadow Green Elementary School parents are involved on many different levels. They are partners with the school as they work to get their children to school on time each day and as they assist in the completion of homework in a timely manner. Parents are encouraged to sign a learning compact at the beginning of the school year that outlines the responsibilities of teachers, students, and parents in the support of the educational program of our school. Parents are also active participants in raising funds that provide for school field trips and assemblies.

In addition, Parent Teacher Association (PTA) funds support the school-wide PRIDE Program that enhances student's Personal Responsibility In Daily Effort. All parents are invited to join the PTA. Parents also serve on the School Site Council, which is the primary site-based decision making body on campus. This council consists of school staff, teachers, and parents and makes decisions on major budget expenditures of Title I and School Improvement Programs and includes an English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) parent who serves on the School Site Council (SSC). Potential parent members for the SSC are nominated by and voted in by their peers. Flyers for this process are sent home to all parents. SSC member parents play an active role in advising the school about priorities and concerns. Meadow Green also offers reading and literacy parent education support in the evenings to support parent engagement and education of students.

As volunteers, parents regularly assist in supporting classroom teachers and the educational program by assisting in the classroom. Those wishing to volunteer in the classroom directly contact the teacher. The PTA provides a forum for parents to participate regularly in school activities and functions such as student-centered activities and fund raisers. Parents are invited to attend monthly awards assemblies that honor students for citizenship and achievement. They accompany classes on field trips and assist with special projects and presentations at school. Meadow Green holds an annual Holiday Program, Fall Evening Event, 1st Grade Reading Night, and a Volunteer Tea, all aimed at inviting and encouraging parental involvement. Both Back-to-School Night and Open House are excellent opportunities for parents to participate in school functions and become an active part in the school and their child's education. There are also family engagement opportunities during Red Ribbon Week and Read Across America Week.

A number of software programs are also available online so that parents can actively encourage and engage their children in learning at home. These include the Reflex Math, iReady, MobyMax, and Reading Plus.

For additional information, contact the school principal at 562-902-4241.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates;
- High school graduation rates; and
- Chronic Absenteeism

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	533	529	119	22.5
Female	253	252	59	23.4
Male	280	277	60	21.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0.0
Asian	42	42	4	9.5
Black or African American	15	14	0	0.0
Filipino	8	8	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	371	369	96	26.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	7	7	3	42.9
White	89	88	16	18.2
English Learners	28	28	9	32.1
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0.0
Homeless	6	6	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	296	293	88	30.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	56	53	14	26.4

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.54	1.65	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.00	1.69	0.18	2.32	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.69	0.00
Female	0.79	0.00
Male	2.50	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	1.62	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	3.37	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3.04	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	7.14	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

Meadow Green Elementary School is committed to the safety and security of each student.

To maintain these conditions which contribute to the best possible learning environment, the School Safety Plan includes the following elements:

1. **School Crime Assessment:** Meadow Green Elementary School provides compiled school crime information each month for the California Safe School Assessment as mandated by the State of California. This information is analyzed at the school site to determine what steps may be taken to reduce incidents of crime.
2. **Child Abuse Reporting:** Teachers and other school employees are required by law to report any cases of suspected child abuse or child neglect. Reports are investigated by the Department of Social Services to determine if any follow-up on the report is necessary.
3. **Disaster Procedures and NIMS Report:** In order to ensure the safety of students and school personnel, the School Safety committee has created a comprehensive Disaster Preparedness Plan and specific emergency job duties for all staff are clearly defined in the NIMS Report. This Disaster Plan is revised and reviewed annually. Emergency fire drills are held once a month and evaluated for effectiveness. Lockdown and Earthquake drills are routinely practiced throughout the year.
4. **School Discipline:** Meadow Green Elementary School has created a school-wide discipline plan in order to communicate high standards and expectations and to hold students accountable for their behavior. The discipline plan is given to every student and reviewed in student assemblies or classroom presentations. Staff members consistently enforce the school-wide standards. Meadow Green also has a strong positive component to its discipline policy. This includes a PRIDE program of recognition for citizenship, good behavior, and responsibility. An awards program recognizes students for their positive choices. Upper grade students are invited to join the Bully Busters and their focus is to encourage and foster positive interaction between students at school. Meadow Green is in year two of developing our Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies Plan (PBIS). Tier two defines behavior expectations for all students, in all areas on campus as well as continues with our successful recognition program now in place. Tier two also details interventions and student success programs which are in place to assist a smaller population of children who need more assistance, guidance and frequent monitoring for improved behavior.
5. **Sexual Harassment Policy:** Meadow Green Elementary School strictly adheres to LJSJ policies that prohibit sexual harassment or discrimination of any kind.
6. **School-wide Dress Code:** Meadow Green Elementary School believes that a clearly defined dress code contributes to a positive school environment. The primary standards for student dress and grooming include: the student should be neat and clean at school, and the student should not wear clothing that compromises safety or modesty or that is disruptive to the educational process. Each student receives annually a written copy of the school dress code.
7. **Safe and Orderly Environment:** Meadow Green Elementary School believes a safe and orderly environment is necessary to ensure a positive learning experience for all students. Regular supervision of students is the core of creating and maintaining a safe and orderly environment. Teachers, administrators, campus supervisors and parent volunteers consistently supervise and interact with students to reinforce behavioral expectations and safety standards. Staff, students, and parents are all encouraged to support a bully-free campus. Assemblies are held focusing on appropriate behavior with others, and all staff, parents, and students are encouraged to sign a kindness pledge. PBIS - Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies is in place.

The School Safety Plan is reviewed annually with staff. It was reviewed and updated and approved by SSC on September 22, 2022, which includes representation from certificated staff, classified staff, and parents / guardians.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	22	1	3	
1	23		2	
2	22		2	
3	33		2	1
4	30		3	
5	30		2	
6	31		2	
Other	29		1	

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	19	1	3	
1	23		3	
2	30		2	
3	30		2	
4	32		2	
5	34			1
6	25		3	
Other	35			1

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	22	2	2	
1	23		2	
2	25		2	
3	27		2	
4	33		1	
5	23		3	
6	23	1	3	
Other	18	1	1	

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1.1
Social Worker	
Nurse	0.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	0.6

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teach salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,931	\$929	\$7,033	\$92,273
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,837	
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-10.8	2.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	6.4	8.2

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Title I funds provide economic assistance to supplement the regular instructional program for educationally disadvantaged students. Expenditures include: Salaries and benefits for small group intervention during and outside of the school day, supplemental materials, supplemental supplies, technology, professional development/conferences, consultants, professional development for data analysis, curriculum development, and professional development, postage, non-capital equipment, and student field trips. Title I is utilized to support students in need of interventions at Meadow Green as a means to supplement and not supplant our CORE program. Meadow Green operates the Title I program as a school-wide Title I program, targeting achievement school-wide. Meadow Green transitioned from a targeted assistance to a school-wide program during the 2018/19 school year, as the number of students from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds increased above 40% of the total school population.

Utilizing Title I and LCAP funds, Meadow Green provides Tier III support during and outside of the school day for students performing below grade level standards. Numerous software programs, which are utilized at school and can be accessed at home are also provided to all students.

Closing the achievement gap between high and low performing children and especially the achievement gap between minority and non-minority students, and between disadvantaged children and their more advantaged peers is a focus of Meadow Green School.

Title II funds are designated to improve teacher quality and increase the number of highly qualified teachers. The program focuses on research based strategies to prepare and train highly qualified teachers.

Title III funds are designated to ensure that students attain English proficiency and meet the same challenging academic achievement standards required of all students. Funds are used for translation, support services, instructional aides, supplemental books, and conferences.

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary		
Highest Teacher Salary		
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries		
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries		

Professional Development

Professional development is an on-going process throughout the year. District-wide, there are full non-student days throughout the year where teachers receive professional development in areas such as instructional technology, student engagement, data analysis, implementation of core and supplemental instructional programs. The early-release days are on Mondays and topics are developed based on teacher need. The specific focus for the full, nonstudent days of PD are as follows:

August 15, 2022- Thinking Maps & Write from the Beginning- Setting the stage for narrative writing
 August 27, 2022- Thinking Maps & Write from the Beginning- Opinion / Argument writing
 November 1, 2022- Setting the Stage for Opinion / Argumentative Writing with Thinking Maps
 April 7 2023- Using Thinking Maps to support writing

There were several early release Mondays that are also utilized for Site Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings. At PLC meetings, staff focus on one or more of the four essential PLC areas (What do we want students to learn? How will we know students have learned? What will we do for students who have not learned? What will we do for students that have learned?). Site PLC focus areas are aligned with site goals and programs as developed by the School Site Council based on student achievement measures and are developed by the Principal in collaboration with staff. Topics for 2022/23 include:

September 12, 2022- Utilizing Reflex Math to support numeracy and fluency in math (grades 2-6) and iReady Data- grades K-2
 September 26, 2021-Site PLC- iReady Data Training- Using data to drive instruction / academic growth
 October 24,, 2022- Using Catapult EMS refresher
 October 31, 2022- NGSS w/ OCDE Science trainers
 December 19, 2022- Data analysis / planning / setting the stage for iReady T2 benchmarking
 January 30, 2023- iReady- Using data from benchmark 2 to drive academic growth
 March 13, 2023- Planning for setting up classroom space to support learning upon returning to Meadow Green in June 2023
 April 3, 2023- Implementation of 2023 CAASPP assessments in ELA, Math, and Science
 May 1, 2023- End of year analysis / planning

In addition to PLC meetings, teachers also utilize early release Mondays for collaboration during instructional team planning with grade level and matriculation colleagues at both the site and district level.

Staff also receive other PD opportunities throughout the year to attend conferences and receive other trainings.

Areas include, but are not limited to data conferences with administration, STEAM conference, CUE conference, PALs, Restorative Practices, EDI, GLAD, Thinking Maps, and collaboration for data analysis and curriculum development.

Professional Development

Support for implementing programs and practices is on-going throughout the year. The Principal conducts classroom visits daily and provides on-going feedback and support. In addition, teachers are able to support one another through ITP time on Wednesdays. An instructional technology coach is also available to support development and implementation in that area. Finally, all grade levels receive several full days of sub release throughout the year to receive support from district Ed Services personnel.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	20	14	14