

TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCEDURES

During the ~~2013-2014~~ 2014-2015 school year, one hundred percent (100%) of the evaluation rating of teachers shall be based on the qualitative component of the TLE. As required by 70 O.S. § 6-101.10 for purposes of testing the TLE, the school district will incorporate the student academic growth and other academic measurement quantitative components of the TLE in ~~select option: (all) or (a representative sampling~~ of school sites within the school district).

For purposes of establishing baseline data, the school district shall incorporate the student academic growth (“SAG”) and other academic measures (“OAM”) quantitative components of the TLE. Each teacher for whom a Value Added Result is not available shall utilize a student learning objectives (“SLO”) or student outcome objectives (“SOO”). An SLO/SOO is a measureable, long term academic goal that a teacher or teacher team sets at the beginning of the year for all students or subgroups of students.

The SLO/SOO cycle consists of five steps: (1) development, (2) approval, (3) midcourse check-in, (4) final review of SLO/SOO attainment and scoring, and (5) discussion of summative rating and impact on practice. Initially, each administrator shall visit with teachers for whom a value added result is not available and shall require that a SLO/SOO be developed. SLOs/SOOs must demonstrate SAG impacted by the teacher in order to provide actionable feedback. The process for development of the SLO/SOO is as follows:

1. Identification of core content and standards.
2. Gather and analyze student data.
3. Determine the focus of the SLO/SOO.
4. Select or develop an assessment.
5. Develop a growth target and rationale.

The SLO/SOO evaluation rating for each teacher will be based upon a scale of 1.00 to 5.00.

The board of education shall annually approve a list of ~~other academic measures (“OAM”)~~ from the list of options which have been approved by the State Board of Education. OAM are alternative instruments ensuring a robust teacher evaluation, capturing unique facets of effective teaching, and reflecting student academic performance impact by the teacher. Each teacher shall have at least two options that are grade level appropriate. The teacher shall be required to select an other academic measure from the list approved by the board of education that is relevant to the job duties assigned to that teacher. The other academic measure should be selected by the teacher with the understanding that the measure is to be utilized to provide actionable feedback to the teacher. If there are not at least two options of OAM listed on the approved OAM list that are relevant to the job duties of a teacher, two other relevant options will be provided by the board of education that meet the definition of other academic measure adopted by the Oklahoma State Board of Education prior to the expiration of the first nine weeks of school.

The rating scale for each teacher shall be a 5 point scale, where 5 is Superior, 4 is Highly Effective, 3 is Effective, 2 is Needs Improvement, and 1 is Ineffective.

The timeline for the evaluation process shall be as follows:

1. During the first nine weeks of school, each teacher shall do each of the following:
 - a. Determine an academic area of focus for the teacher's students that will guide the other academic measures for the teacher.

TEACHER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCEDURES (Cont.)

- b. Administer a pre-assessment or locate data that can be used as a pre-assessment of the academic area of focus.
 - c. Select an other academic measure that will be used to measure the performance of the academic area of focus at the end of the school year (or after instruction for the academic area of focus is complete). Those teachers who receive an individual value added model score because they teach in a grade and subject that has state tests used for calculating individual value added model scores may substitute the value added model score (on a 5 point scale) for the other academic measure if they so choose.
 - d. Establish a SMART goal by the end of the first semester for the academic area of focus as measured by the other academic measure. SMART goals are Specific, Measureable, Attainable and Ambitious, Results-driven, and Time-bound. SMART goals should be established based on pre-assessment data. Teachers may collaboratively develop SMART goals and 5 point rating scales with peers.
 - e. Establish a 5-point rating scale, by the end of the first semester, for the SMART goal, where 5 is Superior, 4 is Highly Effective, 3 is Effective, 2 is Needs Improvement, and 1 is Ineffective.
 - f. By way of signature, receive agreement from the evaluator on the SMART goal and 5-point rating scale. If the teacher and the evaluator cannot agree on the SMART goal and 5-point rating process, the Superintendent shall provide mediation.
2. At the end of the school year (or after instruction for the academic area of focus is complete), all teachers shall consult with their respective evaluators to determine if the SMART goal was reached and what score will be assigned based on the previously agreed upon 5-point rating scale for the OAM. Documentation of student performance should be provided.
 3. Because the results of many OAM are unavailable until after evaluations must be completed for re-employment decisions, other academic measure results will be calculated as 15% of teacher evaluations during the year following their attainment.
 4. If a teacher encounters an extenuating circumstance including, but not limited to, extended illness, acceptance of a student teacher, natural disaster, flu epidemic, or those situations that materially impact the achievement of the teacher's students after a SMART goal has been agreed upon, the teacher shall be evaluated via the development of a high quality reflective analysis of their student performance and factors that contributed to the teacher's inability to reach expected targets.

The district shall utilize the other academic measure sample worksheet provided by the State Department of Education.

Data shall be collected by the evaluator on an ongoing basis in compliance with the system of evaluation selected by the board of education. The data shall be maintained in an evaluation file for the teacher. Final scores for the other academic measure component shall be determined by the end of the school year.