**Eva Rae Lueck Chief Business and Financial Officer** 

March 1, 2011 Budget Report No. 5











Scenario 1 – Flat Funding (Best Case)
\*<u>Requires Legislative & Voter Support</u>\*

\$18.32 Cut per ADA \_\_\_\_\_ \$460,000

Scenario 2 – If Elections Fail – SSC Projection

\$348.32 Cut per ADA \_\_\_\_\_ \$8.8 m

Scenario 3 – If Elections Fail – LAO Projection

\$800 Cut per ADA \_\_\_\_\_ \$20.3 m

#### **Impact of Various Budget Proposals – 2011-12**

Projected Ending Fund Balance (In Millions)							
	<b>2010-11</b>	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
Scenario 1 (\$18.32)	39.2	37.1	24.7	<1.9>			
To Reach 3% Res	erve			8.9			
Scenario 2 (\$348.32)	39.2	28.8	8.1	<26.6>			
To Reach 3% Reserve				33.6			
Scenario 3 (\$800)	39.2	17.0	<15.4>	<61.8>			
To Reach 3% Reserve			22.2	68.8			

To be Revised at P2



Early Education and Extended Learning Programs (EEELP)

#### **2010-11** – State may cut funding this year

#### 2011-12 – Program will be restructured/ reduced – Plan has not been finalized

New

#### Impact on the Department of Developmental Services

A proposed decrease of \$716? million in 2011-2012 for all direct service child care programs

#### **Proposed Reductions:**

- Eliminating State subsidized services for 11 and 12 yr. olds
- Reducing family eligibility by changing the cut off from 75% to 60% 70% of the State Median Income
- Cutting State subsidized preschool programs by 36% 10%
- Reducing the level of State subsidies across the board
   Red: Indicates range of reduction being discussed in legislature.
   Note: It is uncertain what the final program reduction will be.

#### EEELP

#### **Recommendation:**

- March 15 Notice of Potential Reassignment Supervisors
  - The notices will allow flexibility to restructure program and services.
- **Continuation of Monitoring for Possible Reductions in Staffing.**

#### **Fundamental Building Blocks to Support Instructional Program (December 14 Discussion)**

GOAL

No Additional Layoffs to the <u>Base</u> Staffing – This does not include Categorical Programs (SBCP, Federal Programs, EEELP, etc.)

**Reduce/Eliminate Furlough Days in Future Years** 

Maintain K-3 Staffing at 24 Students Per Class

#### ITEMS WITH A CRITICAL IMPACT GENERAL FUND



April - Measure S Election \$20 m Impact

 June – Governor's Proposed Election to Extend Taxes

#### **Update on 2011-12 State Budget Proposals Impacts of Measure S on State Budget Scenarios**

**Projected Ending Fund Balance (In Millions)** 

	Scer	Scenario 1 Scena		nario 2	Scenario 3 Measure S	
	Mea	asure S	S Measure S			
	Pass	Not Pass	Pass	Not Pass	Pass	Not Pass
2010-11	39.2	•	39.2		39.2	
		39.2		39.2		39.2
2011-12	57.1		<b>48.8</b>		37.0	
		37.1		28.8		17.0
2012-13	44.7		28.1		4.6	
		24.7		8.1		<15.4>
2013-14	18.1		<6.6>	*	<41.8>	>*
		<1.9>*		<26.6>*		<61.8>

\*Note: An additional \$7 million would be needed to obtain a 3% Reserve To be revised at P2

## Update on 2011-12 State Budget Proposals 2010-11 Cost Saving Strategies – Currently Implemented

#### 2010-11 Reductions

Administration Reorganization 10 FTE	1,100,000
Maintenance Workers 7 FTE	450,000
Special Education 7.4 FTE	500,000
School Safety Grants Sweep (one-time)	1,200,000
Restricted Lottery Utilization	500,000
Charge Food Service Indirect Cost	350,000
Middle School reduce 1 FTE per 1,000 students 3 FTE	300,000
High School reduce 1 FTE per 1,000 students 9 FTE	900,000
Clark MHS adjust to 6 periods 4 FTE	400,000
High School Administrative Secretary 3 FTE	168,750
Daily HS reduce counseling 1 FTE	100,000
Summer School Cost Reduction	1,000,000
COPS Payment Shifted to Capital Fund	1,270,000
Health Insurance - Plan Redesign	900,000
Health Insurance - Contribution Cap	4,400,000
PARS Early Retirement Eff. 7/1/2010 - \$2.3 million partially reflected in FTE cuts	
Workers Comp - Utilize Fund 67.1 Balance	1,400,000
Class Size K-3 to 24.95:1 Ratio	<u>3,400,000</u>
TOTAL	18,338,750

Note: Reductions and flexibility options implemented in prior years continued into 2010-11. No Salary Roll Backs or Furlough days implemented.

## Update on 2011-12 State Budget Proposals Revenue Generating Programs - Implemented FLAG Program

Impact	Impact of 254 Inter-district Permits for FLAG						
Increase	Increased Revenue						
Increase	Increased Staffing Expense - 10 FTE						
Estim	Estimated 2011-12 Revenue Impact		\$ 518,700				
	FLAG Inter-distric	t Permits					
	Franklin	120					
	Edison	56					
	Keppel	23					
	Jefferson	19					
	Verdugo Woodlands	36					
	Total	254 *					

\* This number only includes students that are from other districts, it does not include the number of students in the FLAG program who live within district boundaries and who may have enrolled in other private school programs if not for the FLAG program.

# Update on 2011-12 State Budget Proposals Revenue Generating Programs - Implemented

- Foreign Language Assistance Program (FLAP)
  - FLAP-Spanish for Edison, Franklin, and Toll: \$862,263 over 3 years, awarded September 2009
  - FLAP-Korean for Keppel and Monte Vista: \$1,500,000 over 5 years, awarded September 2009
  - FLAP-Japanese for Verdugo Woodlands \$1,500,000 over 5 years, awarded July 2010
- Magnet School Assistance Program (MSAP)
  - MSAP to GUSD for Edison, Franklin, and Keppel -\$7,456,626 over 3 years, awarded September 2010

#### **If Needed: Areas for Future Consideration**

#### Negotiations

- **Salary Roll Backs**
- Furlough Days
- Step and/or Column Freeze
- Health Insurance Plan Design Changes

#### **Superintendent's Recommendation**

#### Maintain Reductions Implemented Last Year Until More Information is Available

- **Staffing No Change Except Categorical programs**
- **Tier III Utilization for Base Programs Continues**
- Summer School Utilize Glendale Educational Foundation as Provider
  - Reduced Fee for Low-Income Students
- Prepare for Future Reductions