Mountain Avenue Elementary

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

District Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)		
District Name	Glendale Unified	
Phone Number	(818) 241-3111	
Superintendent	Winfred Roberson	
E-mail Address	wroberson@gusd.net	
Web Site	www.gusd.net	

School Contact Info	School Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)			
School Name	Mountain Avenue Elementary			
Street	2307 Mountain Ave.			
City, State, Zip	La Crescenta, Ca, 91214-3135			
Phone Number	818-248-7766			
Principal	Jaclyn Scott, Principal			
E-mail Address	jrscott@gusd.net			
County-District- School (CDS) Cod	19645686013809 e			


Last updated: 1/19/2017

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Mountain Avenue is a community of caring families and staff that together empowers students to develop their unique talents through effective and innovative 21st century learning. Our school mission is to develop responsible, motivated learners who successfully solve problems; think critically, strive for excellence; maintain high ethical standards; and respect diversity. Our rigorous academic program, with an added emphasis on science and the arts, is balanced with expectations that promote respect, collaboration and courtesy to all.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	62
Grade 1	78
Grade 2	74
Grade 3	87
Grade 4	82
Grade 5	76
Grade 6	91
Total Enrollment	550



Last updated: 1/19/2017

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.5 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4 %
Asian	22.5 %
Filipino	2.7 %
Hispanic or Latino	14.0 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2 %
White	54.4 %
Two or More Races	5.3 %
Other	0.0 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12.5 %
English Learners	18.9 %
Students with Disabilities	7.6 %
Foster Youth	0.0 %

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
 School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School		District	
	2014- 15	2015- 16	2016- 17	2016- 17
With Full Credential	20	23	23	1148
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	35



Last updated: 1/24/2017

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014- 15	2015- 16	2016- 17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Last updated: 1/24/2017

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0%	0.0%
All Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Last updated: 1/24/2017

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougall Littell - The Language of Literature/2003 Harcourt Publishers - HSP California Excursions/2012	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	"GEMS" GUSD Open Source Math Curriculum/2015	Yes	0.0 %
Science	MacMilan McGraw-Hill - California Science/2007	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	MacMillian McGraw-Hill - California Vistas/2006	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language			0.0 %
Health			0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %
Note: Cells with N/A values do n	ot require data.		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Our buildings were originally constructed in 1967. Since 2012 MACK (Mountain Avenue committed to Kids)Educational foundation has provided funding for the installation of LCD projectors in the classrooms, updated the computer lab with 40 new computers, funded expansion of our school library to include a small Mac computer lab. MACK funds furnished equipment and tools for the creation of the science lab, and provided various other technology tools for teachers to use in the classrooms. Our school grounds are well maintained, and the grass and foliage on our grounds are well kept and manicured.

Last updated: 1/19/2017

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2016

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Stained ceiling tiles, peeling paint, cracks on walls and floor
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Rear window does not lock in 8106

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2016

Overall Rating

Good

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAS] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Ре	rcent of Stude	ents Meeting o	r Exceeding the	e State Standa	rds
Subject	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	71.0%	77.0%	58.0%	61.0%	44.0%	48.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	68.0%	69.0%	49.0%	51.0%	34.0%	36.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

ELA - Grade 3

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	91	86	94.5%	74.4%
Male	45	41	91.1%	68.3%
Female	46	45	97.8%	80.0%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	18	16	88.9%	81.3%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	12	12	100.0%	58.3%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	52	49	94.2%	73.5%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners	12	11	91.7%	63.6%
Students with Disabilities	12	11	91.7%	54.6%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

ELA - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	84	83	98.8%	78.3%
Male	52	52	100.0%	76.9%
Female	32	31	96.9%	80.7%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	25	25	100.0%	96.0%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	11	11	100.0%	63.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	44	44	100.0%	72.7%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	12	100.0%	58.3%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

ELA - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	79	77	97.5%	72.7%
Male	41	40	97.6%	62.5%
Female	38	37	97.4%	83.8%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native	-			
Asian	28	27	96.4%	74.1%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	33	32	97.0%	68.8%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	16	94.1%	56.3%
English Learners	13	12	92.3%	66.7%
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

ELA- Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	91	89	97.8%	80.9%
Male	44	44	100.0%	70.5%
Female	47	45	95.7%	91.1%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	14	14	100.0%	100.0%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				-
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	59	58	98.3%	74.1%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Mathematics - Grade 3

Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
91	86	94.5%	74.4%
45	41	91.1%	75.6%
46	45	97.8%	73.3%
18	16	88.9%	93.8%
12	12	100.0%	75.0%
52	49	94.2%	67.4%
12	11	91.7%	72.7%
12	11	91.7%	63.6%
	91 45 46 18 12 52 52 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	91 86 45 41 46 45 12 12 52 49 12 12 12 12 13 16 14 14 15 12 16 12 17 18 16 19 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 11	91 86 94.5% 45 41 91.1% 46 45 97.8% 18 16 88.9% 12 12 100.0% 52 49 94.2% 12 11 91.7% 12 11 91.7%

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded	
All Students	84	83	98.8%	72.3%	
Male	52	52	100.0%	76.9%	
Female	32	31	96.9%	64.5%	
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	25	25	100.0%	96.0%	
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	11	11	100.0%	18.2%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	44	44	100.0%	75.0%	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	12	100.0%	33.3%	
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					

Mathematics - Grade 4

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	79	77	97.5%	58.4%
Male	41	40	97.6%	60.0%
Female	38	37	97.4%	56.8%
Black or African American				-
American Indian or Alaska Native				-
Asian	28	27	96.4%	66.7%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				-
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	33	32	97.0%	53.1%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	16	94.1%	25.0%
English Learners	13	12	92.3%	50.0%
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Mathematics - Grade 5

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded	
All Students	91	89	97.8%	69.7%	
Male	44	44	100.0%	68.2%	
Female	47	45	95.7%	71.1%	
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	14	14	100.0%	85.7%	
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	59	58	98.3%	63.8%	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					

Mathematics - Grade 6

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

		Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District				State		
Subject	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	88.0%	84.0%	87.0%	72.0%	70.0%	69.0%	60.0%	56.0%	54.0%	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/19/2017

CAASPP Tests Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight and Grade Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
All Students	79	77	97.5%	87.0%
Male	41	40	97.6%	80.0%
Female	38	37	97.4%	94.6%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	28	27	96.4%	88.9%
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
White	33	32	97.0%	84.4%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	16	94.1%	75.0%
English Learners	13	12	92.3%	75.0%
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth				

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

	Perce	Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
5	13.0%	26.0%	55.8%					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

We welcome volunteer participation and offer ample opportunities for parents to be involved in our school. Parents volunteer in a variety of ways: before school in our carline and during school time as room parents, art docents, and subject-matter professional experts. Additionally, parents assist in the science lab, computer lab, school library, PTA events, and the MACK (Mountain Avenue Committed to Kids) Educational Foundation's jog-a-thon. Parents also chaperone field trips, including our weeklong sixth grade trip to Washington DC. We are proud to count on our parents as an active component of our decision-making team, and their time, energy, talents and input are highly appreciated.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 1/19/2017

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				State				
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.4	0.7	0.0	3.6	2.8	2.5	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1





Last updated: 1/19/2017

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Student safety is a primary concern at Mountain Avenue Elementary and is monitored constantly by every staff member along with our surveillance camera system. Our head custodian arrives at 6:30 a.m., and his first duty is to walk the perimeter of our campus. We are a Closed Campus school and our school gate locks as soon as the tardy bell rings. All visitors to the campus must report to the front office to sign in and only a school personnel can buzz them through the front gate.

Our Safety Plan is evaluated and revised bi-annually every autumn and spring by members of the School Site Council and Emergency Preparedness team. These revisions are shared with all staff as well as our parent community. The key elements of our School Safety Plan are to create a safe and secure environment that promotes good attendance; create a physical environment that communicates respect for learning and for individuals, and uses scientifically research-based program relating to violence and substance abuse to educate our students.

We have created detailed maps for egress/ingress in case of emergencies. Each classroom is equipped with walkie-talkies to communicate with our office, custodian, and administrator. The walkie-talkies are kept turned-on in case of emergencies, and are used during the monthly safety drills. Each month we conduct a self-inspection of our facilities and grounds and use that information to maintain our campus safe and clean.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	64.7%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/19/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	201	20:	2014-15				2015-16						
		Numb	Number of Classes *			Number of Classes *		Number of Classes *			Numb	er of Cla	sses *
Grade Level	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	
К	23.0	0	3	0	24.0	0	3	0	24.8	0	3	0	
1	25.0	0	3	0	26.0	0	3	0	23.1	1	2	0	
2	26.0	0	3	0	26.0	0	3	0	25.3	0	3	0	
3	26.0	0	3	0	27.0	0	3	0	21.5	4	0	0	
4	36.0	0	0	2	36.0	0	0	2	36.1	0	0	2	
5	36.0	0	0	2	35.0	0	0	2	36.0	0	0	2	
6	36.0	0	0	3	36.0	0	0	3	36.0	0	0	3	
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 2/1/2017

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.4	N/A
Psychologist	0.3	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other	0.4	N/A

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/19/2017

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per				
	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$5578.0	\$533.0	\$5045.0	\$84624.0	
District	N/A	N/A	\$5365.0	\$82657.0	
Percent Difference – School Site and District			-0.1%		
State	N/A	N/A	\$5677.0	\$75837.0	
Percent Difference – School Site and State					

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)


A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program. In addition to our computer lab, our school has 6 Chromebook cars that hold 40 Chromebooks each, which allow teachers to maximize incorporating technology into the classroom. MACK (Mountain Avenue Committed to Kids) provides funds for a licensed Care Counselor who is on campus once a week to work with students on developing practical strategies and skills to be successful in social and emotional settings. Our school has a part time librarian as well as a part time computer teacher to augment our instructional services. Strong PTA and school foundation support is evident in many of our schools' supplemental activities. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science and technology, and health and fitness. In addition, our MACK foundation provides teacher grants, and funds the primary music program.


Last updated: 1/19/2017

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,725	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,125	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,802	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,262	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$119,200	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$130,676	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$266,500	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.0%	37.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





Professional Development

The District-wide staff development has been focused on the implementation of the Common Core State Standards, adopted by the California Department of Education in 2010. In the past three years over 100 professional development opportunities have been available to teachers, and/or administrators to support the Common Core State Standard implementation.

Professional development has been delivered in various formats that include; Elementary grade-level trainings, Secondary content specific trainings, Staff and/or faculty meetings, Community/parent information meetings, Principal meetings, New Teacher Trainings, Teachers' Summer Academy workshops and Principal Summer Institutes.

Teacher Leaders were recruited to lead professional development efforts supporting the implementation of the new standards. Teachers have been supported through Grade-level and content-specific trainings by site based Teacher Leaders, Staff and/or faculty meetings, District-wide collaboration training days by grade-level or content area and Teachers' Summer Academy Workshops.