

Cerritos Elementary

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fq/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

District Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)	
District Name	Glendale Unified
Phone Number	(818) 241-3111
Superintendent	Winfred Roberson
E-mail Address	wroberson@gusd.net
Web Site	www.gusd.net

School Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)	
School Name	Cerritos Elementary
Street	120 East Cerritos Ave.
City, State, Zip	Glendale, Ca, 91205-3107
Phone Number	818-244-7207
Principal	Perla Chavez-Fritz, Principal
E-mail Address	pchavez@gusd.net
County-District-School (CDS) Code	19645686013627

Last updated: 1/17/2017


School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Cerritos Elementary School is comprised of students, parents, community and staff that are committed to educating students who will become academically successful, creative and socially responsible citizens. Student progress is monitored as we work to develop student resiliency, problem solving skills, critical thinking ability and personal responsibility.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	96
Grade 1	55
Grade 2	54
Grade 3	49
Grade 4	55
Grade 5	57
Grade 6	59
Total Enrollment	425



Last updated: 1/17/2017

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.9 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0 %
Asian	1.2 %
Filipino	9.2 %
Hispanic or Latino	69.4 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2 %
White	17.4 %
Two or More Races	0.7 %
Other	0.0 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	84.0 %
English Learners	53.6 %
Students with Disabilities	7.5 %
Foster Youth	0.9 %

Last updated: 1/17/2017

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	18	18	24	1148
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	35



Last updated: 1/24/2017

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Last updated: 1/24/2017

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0%	0.0%
All Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Last updated: 1/24/2017

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin - Reading-California/2003 McDougal Littell - the Lanuage of Literature/2003	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	"GEMS" GUSD Open Source Math Curriculum/2015 MacMillina McGraw-Hill- Everyday Mathematics/1997	Yes	0.0 %
Science	MacMillian McGraw-Hill - California Science/2007 Prentice Hall - California Earth Science/2007	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	Harcourt - Reflections: California Series/2006 MacMillian McGraw-Hill - California Vistas/2006 McDougal Littell - World History: Ancient Civilizations/2006	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language			0.0 %
Health			0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The total reconstruction of Cerritos School was completed in 2004. All buildings, including classrooms, administration offices, auditorium, library, cafeteria, and playground, are completely new and in excellent condition. Restrooms are well maintained and kept clean through the use of hand dryers and ceramic tile walls. Students, staff members, and the custodial support team show pride in the school. Monthly awards are presented to the cleanest classrooms. We have installed a new school marquee in front of the school, which is completely computerized to display important messages to our families. We have also created a school website to increase communication. New outside lighting has been installed in front of the school and on the school playground to provide well-lit areas for safety.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2016

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2016

Overall Rating	Exemplary
----------------	-----------

Last updated: 1/17/2017

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	49.0%	51.0%	58.0%	61.0%	44.0%	48.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	40.0%	37.0%	49.0%	51.0%	34.0%	36.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)****ELA - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	52	49	94.2%	32.7%
Male	31	28	90.3%	28.6%
Female	21	21	100.0%	38.1%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	37	36	97.3%	30.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	46	44	95.7%	29.6%
English Learners	23	21	91.3%	4.8%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	59	56	94.9%	32.1%
Male	38	36	94.7%	16.7%
Female	21	20	95.2%	60.0%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	39	38	97.4%	21.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	15	13	86.7%	46.2%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	49	47	95.9%	23.4%
English Learners	25	23	92.0%	13.0%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	60	98.4%	63.3%
Male	35	34	97.1%	50.0%
Female	26	26	100.0%	80.8%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.0%	59.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52	52	100.0%	59.6%
English Learners	15	15	100.0%	13.3%
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.0%	27.3%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA- Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	56	91.8%	71.4%
Male	32	29	90.6%	69.0%
Female	29	27	93.1%	74.1%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	38	38	100.0%	63.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	16	11	68.8%	81.8%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	48	94.1%	66.7%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)****Mathematics - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	52	51	98.1%	25.5%
Male	31	30	96.8%	26.7%
Female	21	21	100.0%	23.8%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	37	36	97.3%	27.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	46	45	97.8%	22.2%
English Learners	23	22	95.7%	9.1%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	59	57	96.6%	28.1%
Male	38	37	97.4%	21.6%
Female	21	20	95.2%	40.0%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	39	38	97.4%	21.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	15	14	93.3%	28.6%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	49	48	98.0%	22.9%
English Learners	25	24	96.0%	12.5%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	61	100.0%	29.5%
Male	35	35	100.0%	25.7%
Female	26	26	100.0%	34.6%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.0%	25.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52	52	100.0%	26.9%
English Learners	15	15	100.0%	6.7%
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.0%	9.1%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	58	95.1%	63.8%
Male	32	30	93.8%	66.7%
Female	29	28	96.6%	60.7%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	38	38	100.0%	63.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	16	13	81.3%	53.9%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	50	98.0%	64.0%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	76.0%	60.0%	56.0%	72.0%	70.0%	69.0%	60.0%	56.0%	54.0%

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

**CAASPP Tests Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight and Grade Ten (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
All Students	61	61	100.0%	55.7%
Male	35	35	100.0%	51.4%
Female	26	26	100.0%	61.5%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.0%	54.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52	52	100.0%	53.9%
English Learners	15	15	100.0%	26.7%
Students with Disabilities	11	11	100.0%	45.5%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	16.7%	31.7%	3.3%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Cerritos has a volunteer group of parents who meet weekly in the parent community room to work on preparing classroom materials for teachers or to provide service for any other school need. Parents also volunteer in some classrooms, chaperone on field trips, and provide office help. We offer parent workshops annually that focus on parenting skills, ways parents can support their child's academic achievement, and how to support their children with Technology. These have been highly successful for empowering our parents to become more involved in their child's education. The principal attends the parent meetings, which provides parents the opportunity to ask questions and find out first hand what important things are taking place at the school. Parents also participate in and attend School Site Council meetings as well as English Language Advisory Committee meetings, Coffee with the Principal Meetings which are held four times per year.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 1/17/2017

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates



State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	5.3	2.4	0.4	3.6	2.8	2.5	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1



Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Our School Safety Plan is reviewed and updated annually and continues to improve the overall quality and safety of the learning environment. The campus is completely secured during school hours. Posted signs inform visitors that they must enter the school office, sign in and secure a visitor's badge before entering our campus. Security cameras are operational and strategically placed throughout interior and exterior locations. Students are supervised before school, during school, and after school including the pick-up area. We continued to work on reducing bullying as a goal in our Safety Plan using lessons from the anti-violence program, Second Steps and i-Safe. Another goal is to ensure the safety of all students, parents, and staff during peak drop-off and pick-up times. Our emergency plans for fire, earthquake, and lock-down are solidly in place. We hold a mock earthquake drill annually, which involves parents and community members and provides scenarios to simulate a real earthquake.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	64.7%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	21.0	2	2	0	23.0	1	4	0	25.0	0	2	0
1	24.0	0	2	0	24.0	0	2	0	24.6	0	3	0
2	26.0	0	2	0	27.0	0	1	0	24.1	0	2	0
3	26.0	0	2	0	27.0	0	2	0	25.5	0	2	0
4	32.0	0	1	1	31.0	0	1	1	36.4	0	0	2
5	34.0	0	0	1	34.0	0	0	2	34.8	0	0	1
6	35.0	0	0	2	34.0	0	0	2	34.0	0	0	2
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 2/1/2017

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6832.0	\$1405.0	\$5426.0	\$82063.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$5365.0	\$82657.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	--	--	0.1%	--
State	N/A	N/A	\$5677.0	\$75837.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	--	--	--	--

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)



A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science and technology, and health and fitness. We have realigned our state and federal funds to support our instructional focus of reading comprehension and writing strategies. These funds are used to hire a teacher specialist, library assistant, and two education assistants, who serve students in a variety of ways. We also use these funds to support professional development in areas of our best practices, those that are research-based and have a positive impact on student achievement. State and federal funds also provide substitutes to cover teachers during grade level release time for analyzing student work and responding to data to drive instruction. In addition, after school intervention classes are offered to serve students requiring extended learning time. Supplemental materials and books are purchased with these same funds as we carefully select instructional products to support teaching and learning in our focus areas.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,725	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,125	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,802	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,262	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$119,200	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$130,676	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$266,500	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.0%	37.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 1/17/2017

Professional Development

The District-wide staff development has been focused on the implementation of the Common Core State Standards, adopted by the California Department of Education in 2010. In the past three years over 100 professional development opportunities have been available to teachers, and/or administrators to support the Common Core State Standard implementation.

Professional development has been delivered in various formats that include; Elementary grade-level trainings, Secondary content specific trainings, Staff and/or faculty meetings, Community/parent information meetings, Principal meetings, New Teacher Trainings, Teachers' Summer Academy workshops and Principal Summer Institutes.

Teacher Leaders were recruited to lead professional development efforts supporting the implementation of the new standards. Teachers have been supported through Grade-level and content-specific trainings by site based Teacher Leaders, Staff and/or faculty meetings, District-wide collaboration training days by grade-level or content area and Teachers' Summer Academy Workshops.

Last updated: 1/17/2017