

Mark Keppel Elementary

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information - Most Recent Year

District Contact Information - Most Recent Year	
District Name	Glendale Unified
Phone Number	(818) 241-3111
Superintendent	Marc Winger
E-mail Address	mwinger@gusd.net
Web Site	www.gusd.net

School Contact Information - Most Recent Year	
School Name	Mark Keppel Elementary
Street	730 Glenwood Rd.
City, State, Zip	Glendale, Ca, 91202-1524
Phone Number	818-244-2113
Principal	Kristine Siegal, Principal
E-mail Address	ksiegal@gusd.net
County-District-School (CDS) Code	19645686013726

Last updated: 1/11/2016


School Description and Mission Statement - Most Recent Year

Mark Keppel Elementary School staff, administrators, parents, and community members share the responsibility for and are committed to creating a safe, stimulating academic environment. The integration of the arts across our curriculum empowers all students to reach their full cognitive, creative, and social potential. Keppel's dynamic educational program fosters cooperative and independent learning as well as critical thinking skills to promote a life-long love of learning and respect for all.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	171
Grade 1	175
Grade 2	169
Grade 3	173
Grade 4	161
Grade 5	149
Total Enrollment	998



Last updated: 1/11/2016

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3 %
Asian	22.4 %
Filipino	6.6 %
Hispanic or Latino	9.1 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0 %
White	56.2 %
Two or More Races	4.0 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.1 %
English Learners	42.4 %
Students with Disabilities	6.1 %
Foster Youth	0.0 %



Last updated: 1/11/2016

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	38	39	43	1089
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	65



Last updated: 1/11/2016

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0%	0.0%
All Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin - Reading California/2012	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	MacMillian McGraw-Hill - Everyday Mathematics/1997	Yes	0.0 %
Science	MacMillian McGraw-Hill - California Science/2007	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	MacMillian McGraw-Hill - California Vistas/2006	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language			0.0 %
Health			0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)			0.0 %

Last updated: 1/11/2016

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

Cleanliness and safety are main priorities at Keppel! Our main building was completely modernized through a three-year Measure K project from 2002-2006. All classrooms and rest rooms were gutted and refurbished. We have all new plumbing, tile, air conditioning and heating units, and flooring, as well as lowered ceilings and tackable wall surfaces in all of our classrooms. We are thrilled to have finished construction in 2014 for two new two-story buildings and a new visual arts studio. These new buildings provide us with 14 brand new, state of the art classrooms and a high-tech visual arts studio, and replace all of the portable classroom bungalows, some of which have been at Keppel since the 1960s. The construction project also provided us with an amphitheater and an updated playground. Our PTA and School Foundation have invested thousands of dollars in school murals and mosaic tile projects to beautify our campus. They have also planted trees and flowers all around the school grounds.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2015

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	wood floor is lifting in dance room
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	damaged rain gutter
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2015

Overall Rating	Good
----------------	------

Last updated: 1/15/2016

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	65.0%	58.0%	44.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	56.0%	49.0%	33.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)****ELA - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	172	170	98.8%	12.0%	17.0%	29.0%	41.0%
Male	172	79	45.9%	15.0%	25.0%	22.0%	38.0%
Female	172	91	52.9%	10.0%	10.0%	36.0%	44.0%
Black or African American	172	1	0.6%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	172	33	19.2%	9.0%	6.0%	27.0%	58.0%
Filipino	172	13	7.6%	8.0%	8.0%	31.0%	54.0%
Hispanic or Latino	172	14	8.1%	29.0%	14.0%	21.0%	36.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	172	101	58.7%	12.0%	24.0%	31.0%	34.0%
Two or More Races	172	8	4.7%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	172	64	37.2%	14.0%	30.0%	25.0%	31.0%
English Learners	172	73	42.4%	18.0%	19.0%	33.0%	30.0%
Students with Disabilities	172	10	5.8%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

ELA - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	166	164	98.8%	18.0%	21.0%	30.0%	31.0%
Male	166	75	45.2%	25.0%	24.0%	31.0%	20.0%
Female	166	89	53.6%	11.0%	18.0%	29.0%	40.0%
Black or African American	166	1	0.6%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	166	35	21.1%	6.0%	17.0%	31.0%	43.0%
Filipino	166	10	6.0%	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	166	22	13.3%	23.0%	27.0%	23.0%	27.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	166	87	52.4%	22.0%	20.0%	31.0%	28.0%
Two or More Races	166	9	5.4%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	166	78	47.0%	26.0%	23.0%	29.0%	22.0%
English Learners	166	77	46.4%	14.0%	25.0%	32.0%	29.0%
Students with Disabilities	166	17	10.2%	41.0%	24.0%	18.0%	18.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

ELA - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	152	148	97.4%	18.0%	19.0%	34.0%	28.0%
Male	152	73	48.0%	26.0%	15.0%	30.0%	29.0%
Female	152	75	49.3%	11.0%	23.0%	39.0%	28.0%
Black or African American	152	3	2.0%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	152	1	0.7%	--	--	--	--
Asian	152	28	18.4%	21.0%	18.0%	32.0%	29.0%
Filipino	152	12	7.9%	17.0%	0.0%	33.0%	50.0%
Hispanic or Latino	152	12	7.9%	0.0%	50.0%	42.0%	8.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	152	86	56.6%	21.0%	19.0%	31.0%	29.0%
Two or More Races	152	6	3.9%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	73	48.0%	25.0%	23.0%	34.0%	18.0%
English Learners	152	45	29.6%	42.0%	24.0%	29.0%	4.0%
Students with Disabilities	152	13	8.6%	38.0%	0.0%	31.0%	31.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met
 Level 2 = Standard nearly met
 Level 3 = Standard met
 Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)****Mathematics - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	172	170	98.8%	13.0%	16.0%	38.0%	33.0%
Male	172	79	45.9%	11.0%	14.0%	39.0%	35.0%
Female	172	91	52.9%	14.0%	19.0%	36.0%	31.0%
Black or African American	172	1	0.6%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	172	33	19.2%	3.0%	9.0%	39.0%	48.0%
Filipino	172	13	7.6%	0.0%	8.0%	38.0%	54.0%
Hispanic or Latino	172	14	8.1%	43.0%	21.0%	29.0%	7.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	172	101	58.7%	14.0%	21.0%	39.0%	27.0%
Two or More Races	172	8	4.7%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	172	64	37.2%	13.0%	23.0%	39.0%	25.0%
English Learners	172	73	42.4%	18.0%	11.0%	41.0%	30.0%
Students with Disabilities	172	10	5.8%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met
 Level 2 = Standard nearly met
 Level 3 = Standard met
 Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Mathematics - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	166	164	98.8%	16.0%	32.0%	35.0%	18.0%
Male	166	75	45.2%	19.0%	31.0%	36.0%	15.0%
Female	166	89	53.6%	13.0%	33.0%	34.0%	20.0%
Black or African American	166	1	0.6%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	166	35	21.1%	3.0%	20.0%	49.0%	29.0%
Filipino	166	10	6.0%	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	166	22	13.3%	18.0%	45.0%	32.0%	5.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	166	87	52.4%	24.0%	29.0%	32.0%	15.0%
Two or More Races	166	9	5.4%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	166	78	47.0%	24.0%	36.0%	29.0%	10.0%
English Learners	166	77	46.4%	16.0%	32.0%	36.0%	16.0%
Students with Disabilities	166	17	10.2%	41.0%	35.0%	0.0%	24.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Mathematics - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	152	148	97.4%	17.0%	39.0%	21.0%	24.0%
Male	152	73	48.0%	14.0%	37.0%	21.0%	29.0%
Female	152	75	49.3%	20.0%	40.0%	21.0%	19.0%
Black or African American	152	3	2.0%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	152	1	0.7%	--	--	--	--
Asian	152	28	18.4%	11.0%	46.0%	14.0%	29.0%
Filipino	152	12	7.9%	17.0%	25.0%	33.0%	25.0%
Hispanic or Latino	152	12	7.9%	0.0%	83.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	152	86	56.6%	20.0%	30.0%	23.0%	27.0%
Two or More Races	152	6	3.9%	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	73	48.0%	19.0%	53.0%	16.0%	11.0%
English Learners	152	45	29.6%	33.0%	49.0%	13.0%	4.0%
Students with Disabilities	152	13	8.6%	31.0%	31.0%	8.0%	31.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/11/2016

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	74.0%	78.0%	63.0%	73.0%	72.0%	70.0%	59.0%	60.0%	56.0%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	70.0%
All Students at the School	63.0%
Male	69.0%
Female	57.0%
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	50.0%
Filipino	75.0%
Hispanic or Latino	66.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--
White	67.0%
Two or More Races	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50.0%
English Learners	26.0%
Students with Disabilities	53.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes



The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education

California High School Exit Examination Results For Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English Language Arts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.0%	55.0%	58.0%	57.0%	56.0%	58.0%
Mathematics	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	81.0%	60.0%	61.0%	60.0%	62.0%	59.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.



Last updated: 1/11/2016

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	10.9%	21.1%	50.3%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

At Keppel, we have a fabulous team of parent volunteers that are a huge part of what makes our school so special. We have two primary groups that support our school; our PTA and our Make Keppel Special (MKS) foundation. Our PTA holds regular association meetings on topics of interest, and MKS is our school foundation, which primarily raises money for our extra art classes and intervention teachers at Keppel.

Parents who are interested in volunteering can contact our school principal for additional information. Our teachers welcome parent volunteers in classrooms to help with special projects, accompany students on field trips, assist with classroom groups, and more. We encourage parents to talk directly to their child's teacher if they wish to volunteer.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


Last updated: 1/11/2016

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%	11.4%	11.5%
Graduation Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	91.90	0.00	78.87	80.44	80.95





State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	0.0	0.3	0.5	2.9	3.6	2.8	5.1	4.4	3.8
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1



Last updated: 1/11/2016

School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

All exterior gates are locked after morning drop off. Mark Keppel is a secured campus during the school day. Visitors must check in at the front office by signing in our visitor's book and getting a visitor's badge. We have front security doors just inside our main office from which everyone is buzzed in. Our safety plan is revised each year with staff, parents, and local law enforcement representatives. Monthly faculty meetings cover safety issues and an OSHA meeting is conducted each year. A school wide discipline assembly is held during the first two weeks of school to remind students of all our safety rules, and teachers review and practice emergency and evacuation procedures with their classes monthly. Posters are up in each classroom that outline the evacuation path as well as procedures for all emergency situations. These procedures are reviewed and revised yearly. We also conduct a PTA meeting outlining the safety procedures at our school to parents.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	
Met Participation Rate - English Language Arts	Yes	Yes	
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient - English Language Arts	N/A	N/A	
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A	
Met Attendance Rate			
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	14
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	77.8%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		Average Class Size	Number of Classes *	
		1-20	21-32		33+	1-20		21-32	33+
K	27.0	6	1	27.0	6	1	28.0	6	1
1	24.0	6		25.0	5		25.0	6	
2	28.0	5	1	29.0	5	1	28.0	5	1
3	23.0	2	5	24.0	2	5	25.0	7	
4	33.0	1	3	37.0		4	34.0	1	3
5	28.0	2	4	34.0	1	4	35.0	1	4
6									
Other				26.0	1				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
-------	-----------------------------------	---

Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.5	N/A
Psychologist	0.6	N/A
Social Worker		
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/15/2016

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5075.0	\$543.0	\$4532.0	\$72890.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$4832.0	\$76630.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.1%	--
State	N/A	N/A	\$5348.0	\$72971.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	--	--

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)



A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program and intervention programs. At Mark Keppel, we believe in using any additional dollars we receive in direct support for student learning in the classroom. We have hired additional certificated teachers to provide this direct support to students during the school day. Our school's focus is reading comprehension. We utilize small group instruction during our language arts block each day to support each student's individual learning needs. Our intervention teachers provide direct support to students who need to make more than one's years growth in reading to close the achievement gap for these children. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science and technology, and health and fitness.

Last updated: 1/11/2016

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,725	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,125	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,802	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$109,834	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$121,635	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$131,723	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$259,000	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.0%	38.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 1/11/2016

Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years

The District-wide staff development has been focused on the implementation of the Common Core State Standards, adopted by the California Department of Education in 2010. In the past three years over 100 professional development opportunities have been available to teachers, and/or administrators to support the Common Core State Standard implementation.

Professional development has been delivered in various formats that include; Elementary grade-level trainings, Secondary content specific trainings, Staff and/or faculty meetings, Community/parent information meetings, Principal meetings, New Teacher Trainings, Teachers' Summer Academy workshops and Principal Summer Institutes.

Teacher Leaders were recruited to lead professional development efforts supporting the implementation of the new standards. Teachers have been supported through Grade-level and content-specific trainings by site based Teacher Leaders, Staff and/or faculty meetings, District-wide collaboration training days by grade-level or content area and Teachers' Summer Academy Workshops.

Last updated: 1/25/2016