California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information - Most Recent Year

District Contact Information - Most Recent Year		
District Name	Glendale Unified	
Phone Number	(818) 241-3111	
Superintendent	Marc Winger	
E-mail Address	<u>mwinger@gusd.net</u>	
Web Site	www.gusd.net	
·		

School Contact Information - Most Recent Year		
School Name	Crescenta Valley High	
Street	2900 Community Ave.	
City, State, Zip	La Crescenta, Ca, 91214-3471	
Phone Number	818-249-5871	
Principal	Dr. Linda Junge, Principal	
E-mail Address	ljunge@gusd.net	
County-District- School (CDS) Cod	19645681932144 e	


Last updated: 1/25/2016

School Description and Mission Statement - Most Recent Year

Crescenta Valley High School, the educational nucleus of our unique foothill community, guarantees our students the opportunity to attain the skills and values necessary to function as responsible and productive members of society, through our safe and caring environment, and our flexible, multicultural, technological instructional program which extends into the global community.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	633
Grade 10	661
Grade 11	695
Grade 12	704
Total Enrollment	2693



Last updated: 1/25/2016

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.7 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2 %
Asian	27.3 %
Filipino	3.4 %
Hispanic or Latino	13.4 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3 %
White	53.8 %
Two or More Races	0.9 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15.7 %
English Learners	3.7 %
Students with Disabilities	8.4 %
Foster Youth	0.1 %



A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
 School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers		School		District
	2013- 14	2014- 15	2015- 16	2015- 16
With Full Credential	99	91	98	1089
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	8	17	17	65



Last updated: 1/25/2016

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013- 14	2014- 15	2015- 16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	1	1
Total Teacher Misassignments*	1	1	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	99.0%	1.0%
All Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Holt, Rienhart & Winston - English; Holt Literature/2003	Yes	0.0 %
	McDougall Littell - World Literature/2008		
	Holt, Rinehart & Winston - Adventures in American Literature/2003		
	Hampton, Brown - Edge/Reading, Writing & Language/2010		
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Co Algebra 1/2000	Yes	0.0 %
	Holt, Rinehart & Winston - Algebra 2/2008		
	McDougall Littell - Pre-Algebra/2009		
	Addison Wesley - Intro to Statistcs by DeVeaux, Velleman, Bock/2009		
	Glencoe/McGraw-Hill - Geometry Concepts & Skills/2008		
	Pearson - Trigonometry/2005		
	W.H. Freeman & Co The Practice of Statistics Yates, Moore & Starnes/2009		
Science	Thomas - College Physics, Scrway/Foughn/2007	Yes	0.0 %
	Glencoe - Chemistry; Matter & Change, CA edition/2007		
	McDougall Littell - Earth Science Spalding, Namowitz/2007		
	Wiley - Anatomy & Physiology from Science to Life/2007		
	Prentice H all - Biology California/2007		
History-Social Science		Yes	0.0 %
	Prentice Hall - California World History/The Modern World/2006 Holt, Rinehart & Winston - California American Anthem/Modern American/2006		
	American/2006 Prentice/Pearson - Macqruder's American Government/2006		
	Glencoe/McGraw-Hill - Economics:Principles & Practices/2006		
Foreign Language		Yes	0.0 %
	Glencoe - Bon Voyage/2005		
	McDougall Littell - En Espanol/2005		

201/-15	SARC -	Crescenta		High
2014-10	0AI (0 -	Clescenta	vancy	Tign

Health	Holt - Lifetime Health/2004	Yes	0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)			0.0 %

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

Crescenta Valley High School, originally constructed in 1946, is currently situated on 18 acres and comprised of 110 classrooms, a library, three computer labs, two gymnasiums, an auditorium, a cafeteria, a pool, basketball, handball, and tennis courts, field facilities, and administrative offices. A \$45 million renovation and renewal project, funded through Measure K, was completed in 2001. Students and staff now benefit from two new classroom building, a library-career-media center, an additional gymnasium, as well as extensive upgrades to all existing classrooms and landscaping.

Crescenta Valley High School provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on keeping the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

For the 2011-2012 school year, Glendale Unified School District passed a bond measure to bring in technology upgrades, solar panels for energy efficiency and science lab upgrades.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2015

		Repair Needed and
		Action Taken or
System Inspected	Rating	Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	missint ceiling tiles
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	peeling paint
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2015

Overall Rating	Exemplary

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

	Percent of Stude	nts Meeting or Exceeding the S	State Standards
Subject	School	District	State
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	84.0%	58.0%	44.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	62.0%	49.0%	33.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

ELA - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	11	0	0.0%				
Male	11	0	0.0%				
Female	11	0	0.0%				
Black or African American	11	0	0.0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	11	0	0.0%				
Filipino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	11	0	0.0%				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
English Learners	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

ELA - Grade 11

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	680	667	98.1%	4.0%	12.0%	33.0%	52.0%
Male	680	331	48.7%	6.0%	14.0%	35.0%	45.0%
Female	680	336	49.4%	2.0%	10.0%	30.0%	58.0%
Black or African American	680	4	0.6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	680	2	0.3%				
Asian	680	177	26.0%	2.0%	9.0%	28.0%	60.0%
Filipino	680	18	2.6%	0.0%	11.0%	28.0%	61.0%
Hispanic or Latino	680	83	12.2%	7.0%	14.0%	40.0%	37.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	680	1	0.1%				
White	680	374	55.0%	4.0%	13.0%	33.0%	49.0%
Two or More Races	680	8	1.2%				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	680	102	15.0%	11.0%	16.0%	35.0%	38.0%
English Learners	680	24	3.5%	21.0%	33.0%	46.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	680	46	6.8%	28.0%	33.0%	24.0%	15.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Mathematics - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	11	0	0.0%				
Male	11	0	0.0%				
Female	11	0	0.0%				
Black or African American	11	0	0.0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	11	0	0.0%				
Filipino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	11	0	0.0%				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
English Learners	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Mathematics - Grade 11

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	680	665	97.8%	17.0%	21.0%	29.0%	33.0%
Male	680	332	48.8%	19.0%	20.0%	25.0%	36.0%
Female	680	333	49.0%	14.0%	22.0%	33.0%	31.0%
Black or African American	680	4	0.6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	680	2	0.3%				
Asian	680	176	25.9%	4.0%	13.0%	27.0%	55.0%
Filipino	680	18	2.6%	6.0%	33.0%	39.0%	22.0%
Hispanic or Latino	680	82	12.1%	24.0%	37.0%	23.0%	16.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	680	1	0.1%				
White	680	374	55.0%	22.0%	21.0%	30.0%	27.0%
Two or More Races	680	8	1.2%				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	680	102	15.0%	28.0%	25.0%	22.0%	25.0%
English Learners	680	24	3.5%	38.0%	42.0%	8.0%	13.0%
Students with Disabilities	680	46	6.8%	67.0%	20.0%	7.0%	7.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

	Per	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
		School			District			State	
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	84.0%	83.0%	78.0%	73.0%	72.0%	70.0%	59.0%	60.0%	56.0%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	70.0%
All Students at the School	78.0%
Male	77.0%
Female	80.0%
Black or African American	-
American Indian or Alaska Native	-
Asian	88.0%
Filipino	75.0%
Hispanic or Latino	63.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	-
White	77.0%
Two or More Races	-
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.0%
English Learners	37.0%
Students with Disabilities	40.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2014-15)

Crescenta Valley High school offers a number of classes to focus student attention on the future. The Health & Science Academy is a California Partnership Academy with a strong Biotechnology emphasis. Students graduate from the Academy ready to pursue college educations in science and medicine. Robotics and Graphic Arts are additional programs that offer student an opportunity to engage in hands-on learning with many options for the future.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2014-15)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	612
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	12.0%
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	33.0%

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	98.8%
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	64.0%

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):


• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education

California High School Exit Examination Results For Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School District			School			State		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English Language Arts	86.0%	87.0%	88.0%	74.0%	55.0%	58.0%	57.0%	56.0%	58.0%
Mathematics	92.0%	90.0%	88.0%	81.0%	60.0%	61.0%	60.0%	62.0%	59.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.





California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2014-15) (if

applicable)

	Eng	lish Language Art		Mathematics		
Student Group	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students in the LEA	27.0%	23.0%	50.0%	22.0%	39.0%	38.0%
All Students at the School	12.0%	19.0%	69.0%	12.0%	38.0%	50.0%
Male	14.0%	22.0%	64.0%	13.0%	39.0%	48.0%
Female	11.0%	16.0%	73.0%	11.0%	36.0%	53.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	4.0%	15.0%	81.0%	0.0%	21.0%	79.0%
Filipino	24.0%	12.0%	64.0%	16.0%	48.0%	36.0%
Hispanic or Latino	25.0%	20.0%	55.0%	28.0%	51.0%	21.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	12.0%	22.0%	67.0%	13.0%	41.0%	47.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24.0%	26.0%	51.0%	25.0%	40.0%	35.0%
English Learners	62.0%	31.0%	8.0%	48.0%	32.0%	20.0%
Students with Disabilities	48.0%	25.0%	27.0%	55.0%	29.0%	16.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

	Pere	cent of Students Meeting Fitness Standard	is				
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standard						
9	14.4%	15.8%	63.2%				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

Unique and special to Crescenta Valley High School are the numerous parent booster clubs and organizations that actively support student achievement, the visual and performing arts program, and athletics. These clubs are instrumental in fund-raising and providing support for students and staff. Parents participate in a wide variety of committees including Prom Plus, CV Cares, School Site Council, and the Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA). The involvement of parents is instrumental to the success of the school.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


Last updated: 1/25/2016

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School				District		State		
Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	3.2%	2.6%	1.7%	6.2%	4.5%	4.1%	13.1%	11.4%	11.5%
Graduation Rate	95.30	95.90	98.30	0.00	91.90	0.00	78.87	80.44	80.95



Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

	Graduating Class of 2014				
Student Group	School	District	State		
All Students	97	87	84		
Black or African American	80	88	76		
American Indian or Alaska Native	97	100	78		
Asian	75	94	92		
Filipino	129	85	96		
Hispanic or Latino	81	78	81		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	72	100	83		
White	87	90	89		
Two or More Races	88	73	82		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	92	81		
English Learners	94	47	50		
Students with Disabilities	85	53	61		
Foster Youth					


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				District			State		
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	2.7	4.5	2.8	2.9	3.6	2.8	5.1	4.4	3.8	
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	





Last updated: 1/25/2016

School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

Safety of students and staff is of utmost concern at Crescenta Valley High School. Administrators, teachers, security personnel, and a School Resource Officer from Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ensure student safety by monitoring students at snack, lunch, and before and after school on campus as well as at various school-sponsored functions. While the school welcomes visits by parents, community members, and former students, anyone wishing to be on the campus during school hours must report to the main office, get approved by an administrator, and display a visitor's pass while on the campus.

The Safe School/Safety Plan is updated and revised every spring by the school's Safety Committee. The current plan was revised in 2014. Once revised, the Safe School Plan is presented to the School Site Council for approval and shared with staff, students, and community members. Key elements of the plan include disaster preparedness procedures, violence prevention programs, procedures for safe ingress and egress from school, drug prevention programs, health education programs, anger management programs, and attendance monitoring procedures.

Students and staff participate in monthly drills in preparation for real emergencies. These drills include fire drills, Duck, Cover and Hold (DCH), and disaster evacuation drills. Evacuation routes/maps for fire and disaster drills are reviewed and shared with students in each classroom and are posted in a prominent place in the classroom. Once a year, the school conducts a full disaster drill that simulates search and rescue of injured/trapped students and staff, first aid, crisis counseling, and releasing students to parents.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	
Met Participation Rate - English Language Arts	Yes	Yes	
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient - English Language Arts	N/A	N/A	
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A	
Met Attendance Rate			
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes	

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	14
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	77.8%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2012-13			2013-14				2014-15				
		Numb	er of Clas	ses *		Number of Classes *			Numb	er of Cla	sses *	
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	27.0	34	19	63	29.0	23	18	60	29.0	22	19	57
Mathematics	31.0	11	24	57	32.0	10	18	57	32.0	10	16	58
Science	31.0	7	22	46	33.0	5	12	53	33.0	5	13	50
Social Science	31.0	11	19	54	33.0	7	15	53	33.0	8	7	55

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	5.0	600.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	2.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	2.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	1.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5250.0	\$521.0	\$4728.0	\$81201.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$4832.0	\$76630.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0%	
State	N/A	N/A	\$5348.0	\$72971.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)


A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program. Strong Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA) and booster club support is evident in many of our schools' supplemental activities. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science and technology, and health and fitness.


Last updated: 1/25/2016

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,725	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,125	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,802	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$109,834	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$121,635	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$131,723	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$259,000	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.0%	38.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	3	N/A
English	13	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	5	N/A
Mathematics	11	N/A
Science	12	N/A
Social Science	18	N/A
All Courses	62	1.3%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments.

Note : AP means Advanced Placement.

Last updated: 1/25/2016

Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years

The District-wide staff development has been focused on the implementation of the Common Core State Standards, adopted by the California Department of Education in 2010. In the past three years over 100 professional development opportunities have been available to teachers, and/or administrators to support the Common Core State Standard implementation.

Professional development has been delivered in various formats that include; Elementary grade-level trainings, Secondary content specific trainings, Staff and/or faculty meetings, Community/parent information meetings, Principal meetings, New Teacher Trainings, Teachers' Summer Academy workshops and Principal Summer Institutes.

Teacher Leaders were recruited to lead professional development efforts supporting the implementation of the new standards. Teachers have been supported through Grade-level and content-specific trainings by site based Teacher Leaders, Staff and/or faculty meetings, District-wide collaboration training days by grade-level or content area and Teachers' Summer Academy Workshops.