# BENJAMIN FRANKLIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School Accountability Report Card

# Reported Using Data from the 2011–12 School Year

Published During 2012–13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### I. Data and Access

# **Ed-Data Partnership Web Site**

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### II. About This School

## **Contact Information (School Year 2012–13)**

	School	District		
School Name	Benjamin Franklin Elementary	District Name	Glendale Unified	
Street	1610 Lake St.	Phone Number	(818) 241-3111	
City, State, Zip	Glendale, CA, 91201-2610	Web Site	www.gusd.net	
Phone Number	(818) 243-1809	Superintendent	Richard Sheehan	
Principal	Vickie Atikian-Aviles, Principal	E-mail Address	dsheehan@gusd.net	
E-mail Address	vatikian@gusd.net	CDS Code	19645686013676	

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011–12)

As a unifying force in our culturally diverse community, Benjamin Franklin Elementary School ensures that all students achieve academic success and develop self-esteem in order to reach their goals by building a firm foundation through a performance-based curriculum, creatively using the arts, community resources and research of the global educational community.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011–12)

Parents are offered many opportunities to get involved at Franklin Magnet School which include Parent Teacher Association (PTA), Benjamin Franklin Elementary Foundation (BFEF), School Site council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), Gifted and Talented Education (GATE), Green Team Garden Club and Parent Center, Parents are encouraged to attend parent-teacher conferences, Back-to-School Night and Open House. Parents assist with field trips, coordinating the Holiday Bazaar and WorldFest. Parents may also support teachers in the classrooms.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011–12)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	92	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	123	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	102	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	58	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	40	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	35	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	39	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	489

# Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Asian	4.9%
Filipino	5.3%
Hispanic or Latino	43.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	39.5%
Two or More Races	5.1%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44.4%
English Learners	29.4%
Students with Disabilities	6.1%

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Avg. Class		–10 Nu i Classe		Avg. Class							
Level	Size	1- 20	21- 32	33+	Size	1- 20	21- 32	33+	Size		21- 32	33+
K	19	3	2	0	24.0	0	5	0	23.0	2	2	0
1	21	1	1	0	24.2	1	4	0	24.6	0	5	0
2	20	1	0	0	22.0	1	1	0	22.3	2	2	0
3	20	2	0	0	23.0	0	3	0	22.5	1	1	0
4	26	0	1	0	21.0	1	0	1	29.0	0	1	0
5	31	0	1	0	35.0	0	0	1	20.0	1	2	0
6	30	0	1	0	36.0	0	0	1	25.0	0	1	0
Other												

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

#### **III. School Climate**

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2011–12)

The school Safety Plan is reviewed, revised and approved annually by the School Site council. The safety team shares the plan with the staff. The teachers share key information with their classes and practice for different scenarios. The Site Safety Team meets several times during the year to address safety concerns. The custodian inspects school grounds on a daily basis and immediately reports problems to the principal. The school emergency plan is now on the Rapid Responder National Emergency Management System. Police and fire units responding to any incident at Franklin will have immediate information, such as site maps, evacuation plans, and hazards on the school available to them through this system. The school staff has been trained and has developed an Incident Command System for handling any type of disaster or emergency. Depending on the size and scope of an emergency, the school activates teams to conduct search and rescue, triage, supervision, or reunification of parents and students. Each month the school conducts an emergency drill (earthquake, fire and lockdown). In addition, a security camera system was installed during the 2010-2011 school year to provide additional safety on campus.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate*	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2009–10	District 2010–11	District 2011–12
Suspensions	1	3	4	24	6	6
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

## IV. School Facilities

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012–13)

Franklin was built in 1927 and went through modernization in early 2000. The school is maintained regularly. New windows were installed on the east wing of the main building during the summer of 2008. Work orders are submitted to the district for any problems, and they are usually resolved within a week. Restrooms are cleaned daily and spot checked for cleaning throughout the day. Several bungalows were added to the campus to help provide space for our expanding immersion program. The Green Team consisting of parents, student and teachers planted 21 trees on campus to provide shade and add to the beautification of the campus.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13) as of: 1/25/13

Custom Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		Х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		Х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		Х			
Electrical: Electrical		Х			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains		Х			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		Х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		Х			
Overall Rating		Х			

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

#### V. Teachers

#### **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2011–12
With Full Credential	23	17	21	1,110
Without Full Credential	0	1	1	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	44

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	2	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

# Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011–12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg/</a>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	95.24%	4.76%
All Schools in District	99.45%	0.55%
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.77%	0.23%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	98.69%	1.31%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# VI. Support Staff

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011–12)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	1	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Psychologist	1	
Social Worker		
Nurse	1	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	
Other		

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

# VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012–13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

## Year and month in which data were collected: December 2012

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin-California Excursions/2011 McDougall Littell-The Language of Literature/2003 SRA – Spanish: Tesoros/2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	MacMillina McGraw-Hill-Everyday Mathematics/1997	Yes	0
Science	MacMillina Mcgraw-Hill-California Science/2007 Prentice Hall-California Earth Science/2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Harcourt-Reflections: California Series/2006 MacMillina Mcgraw-Hill-California Vista/2006 McDougall Littell-World History: Ancient Civilizations/2006	Yes	0

<sup>\*</sup> One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

## VIII. School Finances

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,654	\$1,621	\$4,032	\$64,066
District			\$4,101	\$70,145
Percent Difference – School Site and District			-2%	-9%
State			\$5,455	\$68,835
Percent Difference – School Site and State			-26%	-7%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

**Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/</a>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <a href="http://www.ed-data.org">http://www.ed-data.org</a>.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program. Strong PTA and school foundation support is evident in many of our schools' supplemental activities. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science technology, and health and fitness. The PTA supports the student body by providing buses for each class to attend field trips.

Franklin Magnet School was awarded a three-year federal magnet grant for the 2010-2011 school year. The funding from this grant is used to expand the German, Italian, French, and Spanish immersion programs, develop and purchase curriculum and assessments in German, French, and Italian. In addition, Franklin implements a Foreign Language Spanish program for students not participating in an immersion classroom and implements a critical thinking visual arts and drama program schoolwide.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,451	\$41,455
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,170	\$66,043
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,157	\$85,397
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,154	\$106,714
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$118,692	\$111,101

Average Principal Salary (High)	\$128,189	\$121,754
Superintendent Salary	\$259,000	\$223,357
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.00%	39.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.00%	5.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

## IX. Student Performance

# **Standardized Testing and Reporting Program**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified
  achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three
  through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in
  grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
  achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or
  without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades
  two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students
  with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with
  accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <a href="http://star.cde.ca.gov">http://star.cde.ca.gov</a>.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School			District			State	
	2009– 10	2010– 11	2011– 12	2009– 10	2010– 11	2011– 12	2009– 10	2010– 11	2011– 12
English- Language Arts	57%	49%	56%	66%	68%	71%	52%	54%	56%
Mathematics	71%	53%	58%	63%	64%	67%	48%	50%	51%
Science	72%	59%	53%	68%	72%	73%	54%	57%	60%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	60%	63%	61%	44%	48%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2011-2012 School Accountability Report Card for Benjamin Franklin Elementary School

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	71%	67%	73%	61%		
All Students at the School	56%	58%	53%	0%		
Male	49%	56%	60%	0%		
Female	63%	61%	47%	0%		
Black or African American	0%	0%	0%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	59%	76%	0%	0%		
Filipino	73%	77%	0%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	45%	42%	56%	0%		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White	71%	73%	0%	0%		
Two or More Races	55%	73%	0%	0%		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42%	45%	50%	0%		
English Learners	28%	34%	36%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	30%	33%	0%	0%		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011–12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/</a>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Glade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
5	11.80%	26.50%	32.40%				
7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page at* http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

# Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The *similar schools API rank* reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	8	7	4
Similar Schools	10	10	2

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11	Actual API Change 2011–12
All Students at the School	-15	-68	24
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-14	-83	-4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-10	-89	4
English Learners	-22	-106	5
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

# Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2012 Growth API						
Group	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State	
All Students at the School	265	802	19,456	858	4,664,264	788	
Black or African American	2		238	836	313,201	710	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		41	840	31,606	742	
Asian	17	824	2,376	946	404,670	905	
Filipino	22	896	1,308	895	124,824	869	
Hispanic or Latino	132	743	4,395	790	2,425,230	740	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		21	888	26,563	775	
White	81	869	10,834	862	1,221,860	853	
Two or More Races	10		204	921	88,428	849	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	152	742	9,348	808	2,779,680	737	
English Learners	97	717	7,710	783	1,530,297	716	
Students with Disabilities	31	540	1,684	658	530,935	607	

## **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- · Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/</a>.

# Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011–12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	No

# Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012–13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web* page: <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp</a>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		37.5%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

# XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

3 school days are dedicated for staff development.