

# EDISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2011–12 School Year

*Published During 2012–13*

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2012–13)

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Thomas Edison Elementary	<b>District Name</b>	Glendale Unified
<b>Street</b>	435 South Pacific Ave.	<b>Phone Number</b>	(818) 241-3111
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Glendale, CA, 91204	<b>Web Site</b>	www.gusd.net
<b>Phone Number</b>	(818) 241-1807	<b>Superintendent</b>	Richard Sheehan
<b>Principal</b>	Carmen Labrecque, Principal	<b>E-mail Address</b>	dsheehan@gusd.net
<b>E-mail Address</b>	clabrecque@gusd.net	<b>CDS Code</b>	19645686013650

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011–12)

The mission of Edison Elementary School is to meet the needs of all students by equipping them physically, emotionally, and academically to become healthy, self-confident, and independent learners through a student-centered, multicultural, integrated, cooperative program within a safe and secure environment.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011–12)

Parents volunteer in the classroom, on field trips, in the library, at school events and for student supervision at the gates and front office. Parents can participate in leadership roles such as: School Site council, English Learner Advisory Committee, District English Learner Advisory Committee, Dual Immersion advisory Committee and Magnet Schools Advisory Committee both at site and district levels. A Volunteer Handbook to help explain our need and expectations for our volunteers is available. PTA has been an organization for almost 3 years with close to 400 members. Our PTA board is committed to support all of the children at Edison by providing assemblies, fundraisers and classroom/school support. PTA meets every month.

Teachers hold conferences with parents a minimum of once a year. Back to School Night and Spring Open House provide parents with an opportunity to tour the school and classrooms with their children, meet teachers and staff, and find out what our students are learning. We strongly encourage parents to attend both of these events, and we encourage them to volunteer at our school or donate funds to support our programs.

We send report cards home to parents three times a year. Parents may request translations of documents, newsletters, and meetings in any language at any time.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011–12)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	143	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	117	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	115	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	113	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	112	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	121	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	99	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	820

## Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%
Asian	3.4%
Filipino	9.0%
Hispanic or Latino	52.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	31.8%
Two or More Races	1.7%

<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	73.3%
<b>English Learners</b>	57.1%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	7.9%

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2011–12 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
<b>K</b>	21	1	4	0	23.2	1	4	0	23.8	0	6	0
<b>1</b>	19	4	2	0	23.6	0	5	0	23.4	1	4	0
<b>2</b>	21	2	3	0	20.0	3	3	0	23.0	1	4	0
<b>3</b>	19	4	1	0	22.2	2	3	0	22.6	2	3	0
<b>4</b>	33	0	1	1	24.8	1	3	0	36.0	0	0	3
<b>5</b>	34	0	0	1	33.3	0	2	1	30.4	1	1	3
<b>6</b>	36	0	0	2	31.0	0	1	2	36.0	0	0	2
<b>Other</b>												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2011–12)

We last revised our safety plan in October 2012. The Plan, which we update once a year, covers the safety procedures we follow in emergency situations. It includes safety procedures for earthquakes, fires, evacuations, lockdown drills, and intruders. Safety drills are performed once a month and twice a year we have a large Disaster Drill. We distribute the safety plan to teachers, staff, and parents on the School Site Council. The police department approves the plan each year. Communicating with parents and district personnel during emergencies is of utmost importance. To contact parents during a crisis, our school uses the district's automated phone system. To contact the district, we use two-way radios and/or cell phones.

Our staff is committed to maintaining our school safe and secure. Our front office is secured with a monitor and buzzer system. The campus is equipped with 24 security cameras that allow for a 24/7 monitoring option. Parents are always welcome and are encouraged to visit and or volunteer at the school site. All visitors are required to sign in at the main office.

Before school, a team of parents, staff, instructional assistants, and crossing guards are on hand to monitor grounds and surrounding areas for safety. During recess, teachers, instructional assistants, and staff assistants supervise the playground. Teachers and crossing guards observe the campus after school. Student safety is closely monitored during morning drop-off and afterschool pickup times.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2009–10	District 2010–11	District 2011–12
<b>Suspensions</b>	11	2	3	24	6	6
<b>Expulsions</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

\* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012–13)

Our state of the art campus was built in 2003. The Architecture of our buildings allows the outdoors to come inside. Our campus is entirely handicapped accessible and features a city library, a full-sized gymnasium, computer lab, and a cafeteria. As part of the modernization project, our school has recently been wired for wireless amplification system in every classroom as well as providing for internet wireless access points throughout the school. Also scheduled is the artificial turf project to begin summer 2013. Being a safe and clean campus is a priority at Edison Elementary School. Despite the active participation and constant use of our facility by our surrounding community, we take great pride in being one of the safest and cleanest campuses in the Glendale Unified School District.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012–13) as of: 1/25/13

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer					
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces					
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation					
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical					
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains					
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials					
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs					
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences					
<b>Overall Rating</b>	X				

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2011–12
With Full Credential	36	31	39	1,110
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	44

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011–12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00%	0.00%
All Schools in District	99.45%	0.55%
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.77%	0.23%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	98.69%	1.31%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011–12)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	.88	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		
Psychologist	.4	
Social Worker		
Nurse	.3	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	
Other		

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012–13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

**Year and month in which data were collected: December 2012**

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin – Reading-California/2003 McDougal Littell –The Language of Literature/2003	Yes	0%
Mathematics	MacMillian McGraw-Hill-Everyday Mathematics/1997	Yes	0%
Science	MacMillian McGraw-Hill-California Science/2007	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Harcourt – Reflections: California Series/2006 MacMillian McGraw-Hill-California Vistas/2006	Yes	0%

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,613	\$2,543	\$4,070	\$70,017
District			\$4,101	\$70,145
Percent Difference – School Site and District			-1%	0%
State			\$5,455	\$68,835
Percent Difference – School Site and State			-25%	2%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

**Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

A combination of state and federal funding is used to cover all aspects of our instructional program and intervention programs. We are able to provide intervention classes for students in both primary as well as the upper grades. In addition, we also receive federal funding for our Spanish Foreign Language Assistance Program (FLAG). These funds are dedicated specifically for material and professional development for our dual immersion teachers. All Glendale Unified schools benefit from the support of the Glendale Educational Foundation, which offers enhanced programs in visual and performing arts, science and technology, and health and fitness.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,451	\$41,455
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,170	\$66,043
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,157	\$85,397
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,154	\$106,714
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$118,692	\$111,101
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$128,189	\$121,754
Superintendent Salary	\$259,000	\$223,357

<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	41.00%	39.00%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	5.00%	5.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
<b>English-Language Arts</b>	56%	58%	61%	66%	68%	71%	52%	54%	56%
<b>Mathematics</b>	65%	64%	69%	63%	64%	67%	48%	50%	51%
<b>Science</b>	65%	62%	79%	68%	72%	73%	54%	57%	60%
<b>History-Social Science</b>	0%	0%	0%	60%	63%	61%	44%	48%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	71%	67%	73%	61%
All Students at the School	61%	69%	79%	0%
Male	56%	70%	79%	0%
Female	67%	67%	80%	0%
Black or African American	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian	95%	100%	0%	0%
Filipino	75%	75%	0%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	51%	60%	71%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	66%	75%	86%	0%
Two or More Races	0%	0%	0%	0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54%	62%	75%	0%
English Learners	34%	52%	39%	0%
Students with Disabilities	24%	44%	0%	0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011–12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	18.50%	30.30%	21.00%
7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	6	6	5
Similar Schools	10	9	7

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11	Actual API Change 2011–12
All Students at the School	14	-16	29
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	19	-20	31
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White	8	-19	27
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	-24	21
English Learners	0	-10	27
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	545	835	19,456	858	4,664,264	788
Black or African American	9		238	836	313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		41	840	31,606	742
Asian	19	974	2,376	946	404,670	905
Filipino	57	889	1,308	895	124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino	258	790	4,395	790	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		21	888	26,563	775
White	195	862	10,834	862	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races	5		204	921	88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	417	804	9,348	808	2,779,680	737
English Learners	332	797	7,710	783	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities	66	606	1,684	658	530,935	607

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011–12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	No

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012–13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web* page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		37.5%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

3 school days are dedicated for staff development.