

Request for Statements of Qualification  
for Architectural Services for Design of Multi Site  
High School Athletic Facilities

Addendum – 2

The following deletions and additions are hereby made a part of the RFQ documents, effective this date.

September 17, 2020

# 1. Clarifications to the Project Manual

1. Advertisement on page 2 states the submittal date of September 22, 2020. Part 5 Submittal Requirements and Schedule on page 18 state September 24, 2020. Which date is correct? *September 22, 2020 12:00 PM*

## 2. Questions

1. The RFQ lists the spaces needed at each campus but doesn't list square footage for each. Does the District have a high school educational spec that will be used to determine these areas, or will that be determined as part of the design process?

*Will be determined as part of the design process and most facilities have an existing space that would be reworked.*

2. The RFQ references a 2018 study that was used as a basis for these projects. Is that study available?

*The study which was referenced in the RFQ incorporated the utilization of accepted national standards for fast-pitch softball played at the high school, college, or Olympic level. That study also addressed some scope issues however, the scope to be included for these projects will be developed with the selected architect to address each site specifically.*

3. Has the District worked with another design firm to create any master plans or other design work for these projects? *No* If so, is this work available? *Not applicable.*
4. Is the new softball field at Sheldon High School to be synthetic or natural turf? *Synthetic*
5. Will the \$5 million construction budget be divided equally between all three high schools? *No – total construction cost is \$5M - projects are different sizes depending on site and needs.*
6. Has the District gone through Land Use Planning approval process for these projects? *None required*
7. The RFQ states that the CM/GC will perform cost estimates. Should the design team include a cost consultant as well? *No*
8. Is it the intention of the District to have Geotechnical investigation and site topographic survey complete prior to the start of design? *District has surveys for most sites. If they need to be augmented, District will handle that in short order with input from the design team.*

9. Has a CM/GC been selected yet? If not, when is that scheduled to occur? *No. Dates for that process have not yet been finalized*
  
10. On page 16 of the RFQ, the District lists '5.6 Submittal Certification Statement' as the final section of the proposal. Then on page 17, in the scoring chart, 'Item 5.6' corresponds with 'References' (15 points). Earlier, they had noted that the individuals listed in relation to the relevant projects we feature in section 5.3 will be contacted for reference (i.e. no designated 'References' section). Can you clarify if you would like a designated References section ahead of the Certification form section or will the info in the 5.3 table suffice? *A complete reference section table in your proposal is sufficient. Your reference section should also include what role (if any) your proposed team member played in the listed project.*

**End of Addendum 2**