

SUSTAINABLE BUDGET STRATEGY OPTIONS



Superintendent's FINAL Recommendations

Board MEETING February 2, 2011

BOARD GOAL: SUSTAINABLE BUDGET STRATEGY

By **2014-15**, the district will implement a **sustainable budget** that:

- maintains reserves at or above board targets,
- minimizes the use of one-time funds for ongoing expenses,
- optimizes the use of short-term resources to improve student achievement, and
- increases operational efficiency while reducing long-term capital needs.

Superintendent goal:

Develop strategy options for achieving the board's sustainable budget goal and present a proposal to the board and budget committee by February 2011.

4J's Financial Forecast

- Preliminary: Operating shortfall of 19-27% for 2011-12.
- Revised: Operating shortfall of 15-20% for 2011-12.
 - Based on a state funding level ranging from the same as this biennium to status quo without federal stimulus funding (Gov. Kitzhaber's proposed budget).
- Continuing shortfalls through 2014 assuming one-time strategies are used for half of the reduction strategies 2011-12 through 2013-14.

2011-12	2012-13
-\$21.2 million	-\$17 million
-\$28 million	-\$6.5 million

4J's Financial Forecast

- Legislative Revenue Office
 - -GF/Lottery Estimates:
 - 2011-13: **< \$267M**
 - 2013-15: < *\$715M*
 - 2015-17: <*\$1.152B*
 - -BM 66 revenue for 2009-11 reduced to **\$333M** vs. **\$504M** projected
- "be cautious about assuming any additional dollars for the second year of the biennium"

Paul Warner, LRO

2011-12 Revised Strategy Goal

\$24 million:

- a) Ongoing reductions: 50% = \$12.0 M; 60% = \$14.4 M
- b) Reserves/one-time funds: 25% =\$6.0 M; 20% = \$4.8 M
- c) Compensation-related: 25% = \$6.0 M; 20% = \$4.8 M

Sustainable Budget Strategy Options



Final Recommendations



Reduce Staffing/Services & Programs

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Reduce admin and classified staff by 10% (62 fte) \$3.5M
 - Including restructure and consolidate Central Office departments, reduce administration
- Change staffing ratio by 2.5 = 55 fte @ \$4.6M
 or 4 = 84 fte @ \$7M
- Eliminate or reduce TOSA's and SDS's \$0.5M
- Cost/Savings Target: \$8.6 \$11 M
 - *Alternate Option(s):*
 - Reduce admin and classified staff by 12.5% (77 fte) \$4.2M
 - Change staffing ratio by 2.0 = 42 fte @ \$3.5M;
 3.0 = 65fte for \$5.4 million
 - Eliminate or reduce TOSA's and SDS's \$0.25M (half of the originally proposed fte & amount)
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$7.9 \$9.8 M
- 2012-13 & 2013-14: TBD

ALTERNATE(S)

- Reduce admin and classified staff by 7% (43 fte) – \$2.6 M
 - Including restructure and consolidate Central Office departments, reduce administration
- Change staffing ratio by 2 or 5 across the board (depending on other factors)
- Eliminate or reduce TOSA's and SDS's - \$0.5M
- Cost/Savings Target: \$7.7M
- <u>2012-13 & 2014-15</u>
 - TBD

Fewer School/Work Days

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- 9-12 Furlough days (6 less school days) – one day/month based on work year, school-based staff reduced 9/10
- Cost/Savings Target: \$4M
- 2012-13 & 2014-15
 - Continue 9-12 Furlough days (6 less school days)
 - Consider 4-day work week (32 hrs) and school weeks if necessary
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$4M

ALTERNATE(S)

- 10-13 Furlough days (6 less school days) –based on work year, schoolbased staff reduced 10/11 days
- Cost/Savings Target: \$4.5 M
- <u>2012-13 & 2014-15</u>
 - Consider 4-day work week (32 hrs) and school weeks if necessary
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$4.5M

School Closures/Consolidations

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Close Coburg, Crest Drive and Parker in 2011
- Consolidate Meadowlark at Willagillespie
- Move Charlemagne K-5 to Parker
- Review alternative schools Corridor & Family
- Cost/Savings Target: \$1M
 - Alternate Option(s):
 - Leave Charlemagne at Fox Hollow
 - Consider relocating OC and other tenants at Dunn into the Parker site.
- <u>2012-13</u>
 - Close Twin Oaks consolidate with McCornack
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$0.3M
- **2013-14**
 - TBD

ALTERNATE(S)

- Close Coburg and Adams in 2011
- Consolidate Meadowlark at Willagillespie
- Move Charlemagne K-5 to Adams
- Review policy & status of alternative schools
- Cost/Savings Target: \$0.5M
 - Requires additional \$0.5 million in other ongoing reductions
- 2012-13
 - Close Twin Oaks consolidate with McCornack
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$0.3M
 - Possible closure/consolidation of alternative schools
 - Consider closure/consolidation of language alternative schools

Shared Services/Contracting Out

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Identify additional services that can be provided by Lane ESD
- Determine what current services can be transferred to Lane ESD
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$0.5M

2012-13

- Explore service sharing options with other districts that could reduce costs
- Look at contracting out some services
- Cost/Savings Target: TBD

- Contract out or consolidate some services with other school districts or provide through private sector
- Cost/Savings Target: TBD

Materials & Supplies/Services

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- 15% reduction in materials & supplies, contracted services budget
- Centralize purchasing of materials & supplies, equipment
- Cost/Savings Target: \$1.1M
- <u>2012-13</u>
 - TBD
- <u>2013-14</u>
 - TBD

ALTERNATE(S)

- 20% reduction in materials & supplies, contracted services budget
- Centralize purchasing of materials & supplies, equipment
- Cost/Savings Target: \$1.5M
- 2012-13
 - TBD
- 2013-14
 - TBD

School/Instruction Redesign

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

- **2011-12**
 - Stakeholder Task Force to recommend reconfiguration to Supt and Board for implementation 2012-13
 - Redesign instructional delivery model for secondary schools to accommodate fewer students & less resources
 - Cost/Savings Target: TBD
- 2012-13
 - Revise school calendar
 - Shorter summer breaks
 - Consider 4-day school weeks
 - Implementation of reconfiguration recommendations, if any
 - Cost/Savings Target: TBD
- 2013-14
 - TBD

Non-Instructional/Student Support Programs

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

- <u>2011-12</u>
 - Reduce GF support for athletics programs and other extracurricular offerings by 25%
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$0.5M
- <u>2012-13</u>
 - TBD
- 2013-14
 - TBD

Reserves/One-time Funds

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Use up to \$5M reserves/one-time funds to maintain and bridge to 2012-13
- Cost/Savings Target: \$5M
- 2012-13
 - Use up to \$3M from sales of surplus property or lease revenue
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$3 M
- 2013-14
 - GF Reserve and Contingency = 90% of Board Targets
 - Cost/Savings Target: TBD
- 2014-15
 - GF Reserve and Contingency = Board Targets

ALTERNATE(S)

- Use up to \$6.5M reserves/one-time funds to maintain and bridge to 2012-13
- Cost/Savings Target: \$6.5M
- <u>2012-13</u>
 - Use up to \$3M from sales of surplus property or lease revenue
 - Cost/Savings Target: \$3 M
- 2013-14
 - GF Reserve and Contingency = 90% of Board Targets
 - Cost/Savings Target: TBD
- 2014-15
 - GF Reserve and Contingency = Board Targets

Compensation/Benefits

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Negotiate pay freeze, including no step increase
- Negotiate no increased benefits costs
- GF Costs/Savings Target: \$1.5M
- 2012-13
 - Negotiate contract adjustments that minimize and contain ongoing costs to district
 - GF Costs/Savings Target: TBD
- 2013-14
 - TBD

ALTERNATE(S)

2011-12

- Negotiate pay freeze, including no step
- Negotiate \$200,000 decrease in benefits costs or use insurance reserves
- GF Costs/Savings Target: \$1.7 M
 - Alternate Option B:
 - Negotiate salary reduction of 5%
 - Negotiate reduction in PERS employer pick-up
 - GF Costs/Savings: \$4M-\$6M

• 2012-13

- Negotiate contract adjustments that minimize and contain ongoing costs to district
- GF Costs/Savings Target: TBD
- <u>2013-14</u>
 - TBD

Revenue Enhancement

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

2011-12

- Bond Measure \$130M in May 2011 for critical needs, technology & new school (offload of GF = \$1M)
- Increase community use fees by 20% (\$20K)
 - Lease closed schools to charters/others (\$200K)
- Revenue Target: \$1.2M GF with bond
- 2012-13
 - Sell or lease Civic, Willard, or other vacant facilities w/50% proceeds to GF Reserve (\$3 -5M)
 - Local tax to support local schools in 2012-13 (\$10-12 M for 6 yrs) – May 2011
 - Revenue Target: \$12M ongoing; \$3M one-time

• 2013-14

- Implementation of any new revenue sources to mitigate reductions
- Revenue Target: \$12M if City measure passes

ALTERNATE(S)

- City Income Tax Levy in May 2011 to mitigate staff reductions, class size increases, and reduced school year
- 2012-13
 - Sell or lease Civic, Willard, or other vacant facilities w/50% proceeds to GF Reserve (\$3 -5M)
 - Implement local tax to support schools in 2012-13 (\$10-12 M for 6 yrs)
 - Revenue Target: \$12M ongoing; \$3M onetime
 - 2013-14
 - Continuation of any new revenue sources to mitigate reductions
 - Revenue Target: \$12M if City measure passes

Other Options

Final Recommendations

- Consider early retirement incentives
- Adopt single-platform technology systems for centralized purchasing & technical support
- Minimize site-based decision making and increase centralized direction for staffing; e.g., program staffing for student support services
- GF Costs/Savings Target: TBD

Scenario for 2011-12 \$24 Million

