Grades 3-5 Informative 10-point Rubric

Name:

Score:

/10

	Name:			Score: /10)
	4	3	2	1	
	The response has a clear and effective organizational structure, creating a sense of unity and completeness. The organization is fully sustained between and within paragraphs. The response is consistently and purposefully focused:	The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness. Though there may be minor flaws, they do not interfere with the overall coherence. The organization is adequately sustained between and within paragraphs. The response is generally focused:	The response has an inconsistent organizational structure. Some flaws are evident, and some ideas may be loosely connected. The organization is somewhat sustained between and within paragraphs. The response may have a minor drift in focus:	The response has little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus:	
Purnose/Organization	controlling/main idea of a topic is clearly communicated, and the focus is strongly maintained for the task, purpose, and audience	controlling/main idea of a topic is clear, and the focus is mostly maintained for the task, purpose, and audience	controlling/main idea of a topic may be somewhat unclear, or the focus may be insufficiently sustained for the task, purpose, and/or audience	controlling/main idea may be confusing or ambiguous; response may be too brief or the focus may drift from the purpose and/or audience	4
Purnose/	consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas	adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety to clarify the relationships between and among ideas	inconsistent use of transitional strategies and/or little variety	few or no transitional strategies are evident	
	 effective introduction and conclusion 	 adequate introduction and conclusion 	introduction or conclusion, if present, may be weak	introduction and/or conclusion may be missing	
	logical progression of ideas from beginning to end; strong connections between and among ideas with some syntactic variety	adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end; adequate connections between and among ideas	uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end; and/or formulaic; inconsistent or unclear connections between and among ideas	frequent extraneous ideas may be evident; ideas may be randomly ordered or have an unclear progression	
	4	3	2	1	
	The response provides thorough elaboration of the support/evidence for the thesis/controlling idea that includes the effective use of source material. The response clearly and effectively develops ideas, using precise language:	The response provides adequate elaboration of the support/evidence for the thesis/controlling idea that includes the use of source material. The response adequately develops ideas, employing a mix of precise and more general language:	The response provides uneven, cursory elaboration of the support/evidence for the thesis/controlling idea that includes uneven or limited use of source material. The response develops ideas unevenly, using simplistic language:	The response provides minimal elaboration of the support/evidence for the thesis/controlling idea that includes little or no use of source material. The response is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing:	
nce/Elaboration	comprehensive evidence (facts and details) from sources material is integrated, relevant, and specific	adequate evidence (facts and details) from the source material is integrated and relevant, yet may be general	some evidence (facts and details) from the source material may be weakly integrated, imprecise, repetitive, vague, and/or copied	evidence (facts and details) from the source is minimal, irrelevant, absent, incorrectly used, or predominantly copied	
ce/Elab	clear citations or attribution to source material	 adequate use of citations or attribution to source material 	weak use of citations or attribution to source material	Insufficient use of citations or attributes to source material.	4
Eviden	effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques (may include use of personal experiences that support the controlling/main idea)	adequate use of some elaborate techniques (may include use of personal experiences that support the controlling/main idea)	 Weak or uneven use of elaborate techniques, development may consist primarily of source summary. vocabulary use is uneven or 	minimal, if any, use of elaborative techniques (may include use of personal experiences that support the controlling/main idea)	
	vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the purpose and audience	vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose	somewhat ineffective for the audience and purpose	vocabulary is limited or ineffective for the audience and purpose	
	effective, appropriate style enhances content	 generally appropriate style is evident 	inconsistent or weak attempt to create appropriate style	little or no evidence of appropriate style	
	2		1	0	
ons	The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions:		The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions:	The response demonstrates little or no command of conventions:	1
Conventions	adequate use of correct sentence capitalization, grammar usage, a		limited use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling	infrequent use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling	2

(Based on SBAC rubrics)

NS ~ No Score: unintelligible; in a language other than English; off-topic; copied text; off-purpose (Off-purpose responses still receive a score in Conventions.) Conventions Holistic Scoring:

Variety: A range of errors includes formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling.

Severity: Basic errors are more heavily weighted than higher-level errors.

Density: The proportion of errors to the amount of writing done well. This includes the ratio of errors to the length of the piece.